



**RSB**  
Roundtable on  
Sustainable Biomaterials  
[www.rsb.org](http://www.rsb.org)



**CONTROLUNION**

## Public Summary – RSB audit

# Schüco Polymer Technologies KG

Certificate Number: CUC/RSB/884843/632184

Selauer Straße 155, 06667 Weißenfels Germany

CERTIFIED	EXPIRATION
13-06-2022	12-06-2027

DATE(S) OF AUDIT
12.04.2022
date of last update
02.06.2022

Control Union Certifications, Meeuwenlaan 4-6,  
8011 BZ, Zwolle, The Netherlands  
0031 (0) 38 426 0100  
[certification@controlunion.com](mailto:certification@controlunion.com)  
[certification.controlunion.com](http://certification.controlunion.com)

## FOREWORD

### **Methodology**

Control Union Certifications (CUC), is an international inspection and certification Audit and certification is carried out in conformity with the procedures as laid down Based on the information provided by the auditor and by the client, the certifier reviews and evaluates all information provided and certifies the products when all conditions of the regulations are fulfilled. The result of the evaluation is documented in this report and the applicable Annexes. Audit work by the auditor and certification by the certifier are clearly separated activities.

### **Report**

This report is the result of the findings of a certification evaluation carried out by an independent lead auditor or team of experts representing Control Union Certifications. The purpose of the assessment was to evaluate the compliance of the client with respect to the standards used within the scope of the certificate. The report is made in accordance with the latest version of standard RSB-PRO-70-001. The full report can only be reviewed by authorized Control Union and RSB staff.

In case of any complaint or appeal with respect to findings and certification decisions taken by CUC, a dispute protocol and form is available on the CUC website:

<http://certifications.controlunion.com/publications> and can also be provided on request.

# CONTENTS

<b>FOREWORD</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>PUBLIC SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION</b> .....	<b>4</b>
1.1 Operator Information .....	4
1.1.1 Name and Contact Information .....	4
1.1.2 Additional Parties Involved .....	4
1.2 Scope of Certificate .....	4
1.3 Standards Used .....	5
1.3.1 Applicable RSB Standards .....	5
2.1 Audit Type and Determination .....	6
2.2 Audit Team.....	6
2.3 Evaluation Schedule and Extent of Audit.....	6
2.3.1 Determination of Extent of Audit .....	6
2.3.2 Activities Duration and justification audit .....	7
2.4 Stakeholder Consultation Process .....	7
<b>3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>4.0 RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION</b> .....	<b>8</b>
4.1 Process of Determining Compliance.....	8
4.1.1 Non-Compliance .....	8
4.1.2 Evaluation of Non-conformities.....	8
4.1.3 Identified non-conformities during present evaluation .....	8
4.1.4 Assessment of non-compliance raised during and after previous audit report.....	8
4.1.5 Major Non-compliances.....	9
4.1.6 Non-compliances and Current Status .....	9
<b>5.0 CERTIFICATION DECISION</b> .....	<b>12</b>

## PUBLIC SUMMARY

### 1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

#### 1.1 Operator Information

##### 1.1.1 Name and Contact Information

<b>Organization name</b>	Schüco Polymer Technologies KG		
<b>Contact person</b>	Maria Breuer		
<b>Operator Number</b>	2216	<b>CUC PRJ number</b>	884843
<b>Address</b>	Selauer Straße 155 06667 Weißenfels Germany	<b>Telephone</b>	+49 34433421018 / +49 15128353738
		<b>Fax</b>	n/a
		<b>e-mail</b>	<a href="mailto:mbreuer@schueco.com">mbreuer@schueco.com</a>
		<b>Website</b>	<a href="http://www.schueco.com">www.schueco.com</a>

##### 1.1.2 Additional Parties Involved

n/a
-----

#### 1.2 Scope of Certificate

<b>Please select audit:</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> RSB EU RED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RSB Global	RSB Japan Fit
<b>Please select boxes that apply:</b>		
<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-assessment	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Annual Surveillance	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Annual Surveillance
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Initial Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/> 2nd Annual Surveillance	<input type="checkbox"/> 2nd Annual Surveillance
<input type="checkbox"/> Re-certification	<input type="checkbox"/> 3rd Annual Surveillance	<input type="checkbox"/> 3rd Annual Surveillance
<input type="checkbox"/> Follow-Up to NCs	<input type="checkbox"/> 4th Annual Surveillance	<input type="checkbox"/> 4th Annual Surveillance

CERTIFICATION SCOPE	
Mechanical operator of Window profiles from bioattributed PVC (tall oil)	
Please select boxes that apply:	<input type="checkbox"/> Biomass producer
	<input type="checkbox"/> Point of Origin
	<input type="checkbox"/> First collector
	<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial operator
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mechanical operator
	<input type="checkbox"/> Trader
The scope assessment agrees with the scope under which the operator applied	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If no, please explain:	/
<i>Note: If the scope is different, please contact CU.</i>	

Participating site I	
Name	Schüco Polymer Technologies KG
Address	Selauer Straße 155, 06667 Weißenfels Germany

Description of activities	
	Producer of Window profiles from bioattributed PVC (tall oil)
Annual throughput of previous 12 months	
Initial audit, Annual throughput of previous 12 months will not be included (Confidential)	

### 1.3 Standards Used

#### 1.3.1 Applicable RSB Standards

Title	Version
RSB Procedure for Participating Operators (RSB-PRO-30-001)	V3.3
RSB Procedure on Communications and Claims (RSB-PRO-50-001)	V3.5
RSB Procedure for Risk Management (RSB-PRO-60-001)	V3.3
RSB Procedure for Traceability (RSB-PRO-20-001)	V3.2
RSB Standard for Advanced Products (RSB-STD-02-001)	V2
All standards employed are available on the websites of the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials ( <a href="#">RSB Procedures &amp; Standards Archives</a>   <a href="#">RSB</a> )	

## 2.0 EVALUATION PLANNING & PROCESS

### 2.1 Audit Type and Determination

The following table summarizes the audit types for RSB Audits:

	Low risk class	Medium risk class	High risk class
Certificate validity	5 years	3 years	2 year
Main audit	Every 5 years	Every 3 years	Every 2 year
Surveillance audit	Annual	Annual	Annual

### 2.2 Audit Team

<b>Names auditteam:</b>	Chris Pen	<b>Auditor role:</b>	Lead auditor
<p><b>Qualifications:</b> Chris is a qualified Auditor against 2 EU approved voluntary schemes (RSB and ISCC EU and Plus), 1 RED national scheme (Dutch Double Counting) and one national scheme CO<sub>2</sub> Prestatieladder (reduction CO<sub>2</sub> emissions scope 1, 2 and 3 according to ISO 14064 and 50001) with many years of experience in certification sector.</p>			

### 2.3 Evaluation Schedule and Extent of Audit

#### 2.3.1 Determination of Extent of Audit

Total number of subsidiaries, branch offices, affiliated entities, external third parties contracted or otherwise engaged, operational structures, sites, facilities, processing and production units, and supply chain structures	1 office with production site and internal storage.
Participating Operator Risk Class	Low risk
Disputes or prior Non-compliances	n.a.
Changes in scope since last evaluation	n.a.
Total number of compliance claims	n.a

### 2.3.2 Activities Duration and justification audit

Duration and justification audit	
<b>Audit Duration (in hours):</b>	Preparation time: 2 hours Office audit: 8 hours Reporting: 4 hours Total: 14 hours

Description of the evaluation / evaluation	
Operation(s)/ sites visited	Activities/ notes
Participating site I : 12.04.2022	Opening Meeting: scope of audit confirmation
	Evaluation of documented/company system
	Closing meeting

People interviewed
Maria Beuer, Christopher Ulrich, Christian Waitz, Michael Dietz

Action taken by the organization prior to (re)issue of certificate
The self-risk assessment has been carried out and provided.

### 2.4 Stakeholder Consultation Process

N/a: scope mechanical operator

### 3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS

CUC Risk Assessment Results	0	Low risk
Participation Operator Assessment Results	0	Low risk
Deviations and Risk Factor Difference	No both 0 and low risk	
Final risk class	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low <input type="checkbox"/> Medium <input type="checkbox"/> High	

Due to the results of the Risk Assessment, the evaluation did not need to be rescheduled.

### 4.0 RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION

#### 4.1 Process of Determining Compliance

##### 4.1.1 Non-Compliance

Non-compliance: failure to comply with one or more requirements specified in the RSB standards and/or the RSB certification systems

##### 4.1.2 Evaluation of Non-conformities

CUC shall monitor and evaluate all actions taken by the participating operator to address non-compliances finalization of the evaluation report CUC shall evaluate at minimum those aspects of the operation(s) of

CUC shall ensure that recommendations to close major non-compliances, and whether major non-compliances were corrected, rectified or otherwise brought into compliance with the RSB standards and the RSB certification systems, together with the respective certification reports are submitted to peer review and duly decided by its certification decision entity.

##### 4.1.3 Identified non-conformities during present evaluation

Non-compliance (NCs) identified during this evaluation are listed below. In case the organization has Each element (requirement) of the Standard is evaluated by means of a checklist. Please refer to the annexed checklists for details.

##### 4.1.4 Assessment of non-compliance raised during and after previous audit report

Check if section is not applicable, i.e. it concerns a main assessment/initial audit, or no NCs were raised Below an overview is given of the actions taken by the client/certificate holder to correct any NC's. For each NC a description of its current status is given. In the case a minor NC has not been settled within 3 months the RSB certificate will be withdrawing



#### 4.1.5 Major Non-compliances

<input type="checkbox"/>	No major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation. Any minor CARs from previous surveillance audits have been reviewed and closed prior to the issuance of a certificate.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation, which have all been closed to the satisfaction of the audit team and meet the requirements of the standards. Any minor NCs from previous surveillance audits have been reviewed and closed prior to the issuance of a certificate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation and the Operator has not yet satisfactorily closed all major NCs.

#### 4.1.6 Non-compliances and Current Status

Summary of Non-compliances and Current Status	
Non- compliance Number	1
Category	Major
Relevant RSB Standard & Indicator No	2.2
Description of requirement	The PO shall provide involved employees with appropriate training
Description of non-conformity	The employees involved in RSB sustainability and their competence's, knowledge and experience have not been determined. RSB related training did not take place prior the RSB Global audit.
Description of actions taken to address non-compliances, the evaluation of those actions and decision to accept them for compliance with RSB standards	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Name corresponding employees/groups of employees in QM document</li> <li>2. Developing Training material</li> <li>3. Train corresponding departments/employees</li> </ol>
Status	Closed

Summary of Non-compliances and Current Status	
Non- compliance Number	2
Category	Major
Relevant RSB Standard & Indicator No	5.1
Description of requirement	The PO has put in place a chain of custody system to track certified material in line with the RSB Procedure, including necessary infrastructure (software, meters and other tools) and operating procedures
Description of non-conformity	Procedure descriptions/processes for the chain of custody (mass balance) are not available
Description of actions taken	Implement process into IMS. Evidence received.

to address non-compliances, the evaluation of those actions and decision to accept them for compliance with RSB standards	
Status	Closed

Summary of Non-compliances and Current Status	
Non- compliance Number	3
Category	Major
Relevant RSB Standard & Indicator No	6.3.1
Description of requirement	The PO keeps complete and up-to-date records covering all parts of complying with RSB Procedures and keeps these records for at least five years.
Description of non-conformity	The physical delivery documentation for the selected sample was stored in the archive and could not be shown during the remote audit: 06.10.2022 26,26 mt (0621) 14.10.2022: 25,16 mt 6066) 03.12.2022: 25,02 mt (5734) 07.01.2022: 640 mt (8400)
Description of actions taken to address non-compliances, the evaluation of those actions and decision to accept them for compliance with RSB standards	Deliver desired documentation. Documents received.
Status	Closed

Summary of Non-compliances and Current Status	
Non- compliance Number	4
Category	Major
Relevant RSB Standard & Indicator No	8.3.3/5
Description of requirement	The operator records RSB certified material and not certified material separately/ The operator records the compliance claims associated with each batch of RSB certified material separately
Description of non-conformity	Sustainability data of the received batches are not included in the mass balance (e.g. country of origin and GHG value)
Description of actions taken to address non-compliances, the evaluation of those actions and decision to accept them for compliance	Implement data into mass balance, see corrected balance attached. Evidence received.

<b>with RSB standards</b>	
<b>Status</b>	Closed

## 5.0 CERTIFICATION DECISION

Certification Recommendation		
Operator to be awarded RSB certification subject to the minor non-compliances stated in Section 4.1.6.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
The evaluation team makes the above recommendation for certification based on the full and proper execution of the CUC Responsible Biofuels Program evaluation protocols. If certification is recommended, the Operator has satisfactorily demonstrated the following without exception:		
Operator has closed any Major NC(s) assigned during the evaluation.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Operator has demonstrated that their system of management is capable of ensuring that all of the requirements of the applicable standards are met over the sites and facilities covered by the scope of the evaluation.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Operator has demonstrated that the described system of management is being implemented consistently over the sites and facilities covered by the scope of the certificate.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Comments and/or details of any issue which was difficult and/or impossible to evaluate: N.A.		
To be completed by Certification Decision-Making Entity	<b>Technical Review by:</b> If different to decision-maker	Glenn Feryn (RSB certifier)
	<b>Certification decision:</b>	The Organization has been (re-)assessed by CUC according to the standard(s) described in Section 3.
	<b>Certification decision by:</b>	Glenn Feryn (RSB certifier)
	<b>Date of decision:</b> For initial or continued certification	13-06-2022
	<b>Surveillance schedule:</b>	Notes: 1 <sup>st</sup> surveillance audit needs to be organized before 12-06-2023