

Surveillance Evaluation Report

Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials

Global/Advanced Products

Greatview Aseptic Packaging Manufacturing GmbH

SCS Certificate Code: SCS-RSB/C-0041

Orionstraße 8, D-06184 Kabelsketal (Halle/Saale), Germany

Tim Eisele

<https://greatviewpack.com/>

CERTIFIED	EXPIRATION
24 November 2020	23 November 2025

DATE(S) OF AUDIT
3 November 2021
DATE OF LAST UPDATE
4 January 2022

SCS Contact:

Matthew Rudolf | Managing Director, International Operations
+1.919.533.4886 (direct) mrudolf@scsglobalservices.com

FOREWORD

SCS Global Services (SCS) is a certification body accredited by the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials (RSB) to conduct evaluations of biofuel operators (CB Registration No. 592). Under the RSB/SCS certification system, participating operators meeting international standards of biofuel production can be certified as “sustainable,” thereby permitting the Operator’s use of the RSB endorsement and logo in the marketplace subject to regular RSB/SCS oversight.

SCS deploys interdisciplinary teams of natural resource specialists and other experts all over the world to conduct evaluations of biofuel operations. SCS evaluation teams collect and analyze written materials, conduct interviews with Participating Operator’s staff and key stakeholders, and complete field and office audits of the operation(s) identified in the certification scope. Upon completion of the fact-finding phase of all evaluations, SCS teams determine compliance to the RSB Principles and Criteria.

Please Note: An RSB certificate itself does not constitute evidence that a particular product supplied by the certificate holder is certified to RSB standards. Products offered, shipped or sold by the certificate holder can only be considered covered by the scope of this certificate when the required RSB claim is clearly stated on-product. For more information about the RSB, visit their website at www.rsb.org.

Organization of the Report

This report of the results of our evaluation is divided into two sections. Section A provides the public summary and background information that is required by the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials. This section is made available to the general public and is intended to provide an overview of the evaluation process, the management programs, and policies applied to the Participating Operator, and the results of the evaluation. Section A will be posted on the RSB Participating Operators Database (<http://rsb.org/certification/participating-operators/>). Section B contains more detailed results and information for use by the Participating Operator.

CONTENTS

SECTION A – PUBLIC SUMMARY	4
1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION	4
1.1 Operator Information	4
1.1.1 Name and Contact Information	4
1.2 Scope of Certificate.....	4
1.2.1 Determination of Extent of Audit	5
1.2.2 Standards Used	5
1.3 Sites in Scope	5
1.3.1 Mechanical Processor	5
1.3.2 Traders	6
1.4 GHG Intensity.....	6
1.5 Advanced Product Information.....	6
2.0 EVALUATION PLANNING & PROCESS.....	7
2.1 Audit Team.....	7
2.2 Evaluation Schedule and Extent of Audit.....	7
2.2.1 RSB Audit types Matrix	7
2.2.2 Methodology and Strategies Employed.....	7
2.2.3 Evaluation Itinerary and Activities	8
2.3 Evaluation of Management System.....	9
2.3.1 Capacity of the participating operator to implement its management systems.....	9
2.3.2 Evaluation of RSB compliance claims and use of RSB trademarks	10
3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS	10
4.0 RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION	10
4.1 Process of Determining Compliance.....	10
4.1.1 Structure of Standard and Degrees of Non-Compliance	10
4.1.2 Interpretations of Findings	11
4.1.3 Major Non-compliances.....	11
4.1.4 Non-compliances and Current Status	11
5.0 CERTIFICATION DECISION	16

SECTION A – PUBLIC SUMMARY

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Operator Information

1.1.1 Name and Contact Information

Organization name	Greatview Aseptic Packaging Manufacturing GmbH		
Operator Number	2150		
Contact person	Tim Eisele		
Address	Orionstraße 8, D-06184 Kabelsketal (Halle/Saale), Germany	Telephone	
		Fax	
		e-mail	tim.eisele@greatviewpack.com
		Website	https://greatviewpack.com/

1.2 Scope of Certificate

Please select one:	<input type="checkbox"/> RSB EU RED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RSB Global
Please select boxes that apply:	<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Initial Assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Re-certification <input type="checkbox"/> Follow-Up to NCs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1st Annual Surveillance <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd Annual Surveillance <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd Annual Surveillance <input type="checkbox"/> 4th Annual Surveillance
Scope as it appears on certificate:	Mechanical Processing Facility and Trading office RSB input: bio-attributed polymers RSB output: Aseptic packaging for liquid food with bio-attributed polymers	
The scope assessment agrees with the scope under which the operator applied	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If no, please explain:	The operator added two more product codes to the scope.	
<i>Note 1: If the scope is different, please contact SCS.</i> <i>Note 2: Where the client uses external organizations (public or private) to provide utilities services, such as electricity, waste disposal, water, the auditor shall check that these organizations are run according to local requirements (i.e. the law) but these organizations will not be considered in scope of the audit. Therefore no on-site visits to these utility services are required.</i>		
Total workers covered by scope of certification:	247	
Number of women workers	52	

1.2.1 Determination of Extent of Audit

Total number of subsidiaries, branch offices, affiliated entities, external third parties contracted or otherwise engaged, operational structures, sites, facilities, processing and production units, and supply chain structures	Two entities in scope: -Greatview Aseptic Packaging Manufacturing GmbH (Mechanical Processor) is the certificate holder and is the handler of the physical material. -Greatview Aseptic Packaging Europe GmbH (Trader) is the legal owner of incoming and outgoing RSB certified material.
Participating Operator Risk Class	Low
Disputes or prior Non-compliances	3 minor NCs open from main audit

1.2.2 Standards Used

Applicable RSB-Accredited Standards

Standard Name and Version
RSB Procedure for Traceability (Chain of Custody) (RSB-PRO-20-001 v3.2);
RSB Standard for Participating Operators (RSB-PRO-30-001 V3.3);
RSB Procedure on Communication and Claims (RSB-PRO-50-001 V3.5);
RSB Risk Management (PRO-PRO-60-001 V3.3);
RSB Standard for Advanced Products RSB-STD-02-001 V 2.0);

All standards employed are available on the websites of the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials (<https://rsb.org/the-rsb-standard/working-with-the-rsb-standard/>). Standards are also available, upon request, from SCS Global Services.

1.3 Sites in Scope

1.3.1 Mechanical Processor

Name of Facility	Greatview Aseptic Packaging Manufacturing GmbH
Type	<input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture Milling and/or Fermentation <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetable oil Extraction <input type="checkbox"/> Biofuel Production and/or Distribution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please explain here: Mechanical processor (advanced products)
Location/City	Germany/Kabelsketal
Geographic location (<i>Latitude & Longitude</i>)	51°59'04.6"N 12°04'25.4"E
Start date of operations (initial start date)	June2012

Number of processing steps	Production of aseptic packaging for liquid food using RSB bio-attributed polymers. No chemical transformation.
Description of the product or the product component that the certification covers, including, if applicable, the specification of the mass of the certified component related to the total product.	Aseptic packaging for liquid food, different product codes that correspond to different formats of packaging.
Annual throughput of previous 12 months <i>(Can be moved to appendix if certain information is confidential)</i>	
Feedstock Input (Metric Ton)	183.715 MT
Final/Primary Product Output (Metric Ton)	0.517 MT
Intermediate/by-product Output (Metric Ton)	NA
% output yield compared to input material (total output/total input)	95%
Amount sold as RSB certified (tons)	0.517 MT

1.3.2 Traders

1.3.2 Traders	
1. Name	Greatview Aseptic Packaging Europe GmbH
Location/City	Bankstrasse 4 · 8400 Winterthur, Switzerland
Geographic location (<i>Latitude & Longitude</i>)	47°50'02.6"N 8°72'58.3"E
Material stored:	Purchase of raw material and sales of finish products, associated documentation and payments. Note: this site is the legal owner of the material.

1.4 GHG Intensity

Client has opted out of GHG calculation per 20-09-18-PG-2020-05 Mechanical Operator GHG Exemption.

1.5 Advanced Product Information

(Can be moved to appendix if certain information is confidential)

If the feedstock for a batch of RSB certified Advanced Product is not wholly but only partly RSB-certified: state the amount of certified feedstock in relation to the total mass of the feedstock for the appropriate category:	NA
For Category I products:	
State the bio-based carbon or bio-based mass content that can be ensured at any time of the production process	NA

State the standard used for measuring or calculating the biobased carbon or bio-based mass content	NA
For Category II products:	
State the recycled carbon content in relation to the total carbon content	NA
State the method used to determine the recycled carbon content	NA
For Category III products:	
State the amount of primary fossil resources saved by the input of eligible feedstock in the production system	25% of fossil polymer substituted on a mass-basis

2.0 EVALUATION PLANNING & PROCESS

2.1 Audit Team

Auditor Name:	Maite Lasa	Auditor role:	Lead Auditor
Qualifications: Maite is a certified Auditor against sustainability schemes including RSB, ISCC, Bonsucro, ISO 14001. Previously she has worked as a sustainability consultant in renewable energy and in climate change mitigation, and in the biofuels sector particularly in the production of energy crops for biodiesel production. She has received a Master in Public Administration focused in energy and environment at the University of Columbia (New York, USA).			

2.2 Evaluation Schedule and Extent of Audit

2.2.1 RSB Audit types Matrix

	Low risk class	Medium risk class	High risk class
Certificate validity	5 years	3 years	2 years
Main audit	Every 5 years	Every 3 years	Every 2 years
Surveillance audit	Annual	Annual	Annual

2.2.2 Methodology and Strategies Employed

SCS deploys interdisciplinary teams with expertise in agriculture, ecology, forestry, social sciences, natural resource economics, and other relevant fields to assess an Operator’s compliance to RSB standards and policies. Evaluation methods include document and record review, implementing sampling strategies to visit a broad number of site and facility types, observation of implementation of management plans and policies, and stakeholder analysis. When there is more than one team member, team members may review parts of the standards based on their background and expertise. On the final day of an evaluation, team members convene to deliberate the findings of the assessment jointly. This involves an analysis of all relevant site observations, stakeholder comments, and reviewed

documents and records. Where consensus between team members cannot be achieved due to lack of evidence, conflicting evidence or differences of interpretation of the standards, the team is instructed to report these in the certification decision section.

2.2.3 Evaluation Itinerary and Activities

Time	Element/Activity	Personnel Involved
Auditor(s) names: Maite Laso		
Day 1 3 rd November	Greatview Aseptic Packaging Manufacturing GmbH Orionstraße 8, Kabelsketal (Halle/Saale), D-06184, Germany	
8:30 a.m.	Opening Meeting and General Requirements <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Introduction to certification program and assessment process to on-site staff; confidentiality; safety procedures; method of reporting and NC grading, etc. ▪ Review of scheduled activities ▪ Review of RSB procedures; confirm roles, responsibilities and processes ▪ Confirmation of scope of products to be certified ▪ Client to outline production process and overall process flow ▪ Review of site map(s) ▪ Review of Risk Assessment Tool ▪ Review of Screening Tool ▪ Relevant updates from client and any social or environmental changes to the operation ▪ Follow-up on implementation of any corrective action plans from desk audit or previous initial field audit. 	Management
10:00 a.m.	Site walk-through <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Observe operations at processing facility – Observe control room – Observe feedstock and product storage area – Observe chemical storage and disposal – Observe other critical control points 	Production, Warehouseman, and Post-Production Personnel
11:30 a.m.	Document Review: Participating Operator/Standards Checklist <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Review of training procedures and records – Review of grievance mechanism and records 	Management

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Review of traceability method and implementation (including acquiring, handling and forwarding of sustainable material); meter calibration records - Analysis of material balances and records - Review of records - Communications and claims <p>Requirements for Advanced Products</p>	
1:00 p.m.	Lunch Break	
2:00 p.m.	Document Review: place holder if issues are pending from morning session	Management
3:00 p.m.	<p>Report writing</p> <p>Auditor(s) take time to consolidate notes and confirm audit findings and prepare the closing meeting record</p>	
4:00 p.m.	<p>Closing meeting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Presentation of general audit findings - Presentation of all non-compliances and opportunities for improvement - Review of closing meeting record - Establish timetables for signed closing meeting record, corrective action and submission of Correction Action Plan - Overview of timetable for audit report completion - Reiterate SCS appeal and grievance policy - Questions 	
	End of day 1	

2.3 Evaluation of Management System

2.3.1 Capacity of the participating operator to implement its management systems

Overall evaluation of management system implementation: (the details of the observations and substantiating evidence collected during evaluation of the central management office and management systems of the participating operator)

2.3.2 Evaluation of RSB compliance claims and use of RSB trademarks

Are all claims used in line with scope and allowed claims per RSB-PRO-50-001 or Advanced Product Standard, as applicable?	All claims have been approved by RSB
If claims deviate from approved language in standard, signed document specifying claims approved by RSB:	Document "RSB - claims_auditor approved_Scan"
Does Operator use RSB trademarks on off-product or on-product claims?	Yes. Off-product in its webpage and on-product for client Leche Galicia

3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Highest Risk Class will Apply for the Participating Operator

Site	Based on the most recent self-risk assessment the PO's risk assessment results are (The number):	Corresponding risk class (low, medium, high):	Date of risk assessment (must be no older than 3 months from the audit date)	Auditor's assessment of Operator's risk
GAPM	3	Low	Sent on 28 th October 2021	Agrees
Overall Risk				3/Low

4.0 RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION

4.1 Process of Determining Compliance

4.1.1 Structure of Standard and Degrees of Non-Compliance

RSB-accredited biofuel standards consist of a three-level hierarchy: the principle, the criteria that correspond to that principle, and then the performance indicators that elaborate upon each criterion. Consistent with SCS Sustainable Biofuels Program evaluation protocols, the team collectively determines whether or not the subject operation is in compliance with every applicable indicator of the relevant sustainable biofuel standard. Each non-compliance must be evaluated to determine whether it constitutes a major or minor non-compliance at the level of the associated criterion or sub-criterion. Not all indicators are equally important, and there is no simple numerical formula to determine whether an operation is in non-compliance. The team therefore must use their collective judgment to assess each criterion and determine if the Operator is in compliance. If the Operator is determined to be in non-compliance at the criterion level, then at least one of the applicable indicators must be in major non-compliance.

4.1.2 Interpretations of Findings

Major Non-compliances, either alone or in combination with non-compliances of other applicable indicators, result (or are likely to result) in a fundamental failure to achieve the objectives of the relevant RSB Criterion. These non-compliances must be resolved or closed out before a certificate can be awarded. If Major NCs arise after an operation is certified, the timeframe for correcting these non-compliances is typically no more than three months. Certification is contingent on the operator’s response to the NCs within the stipulated time frame.

Minor Non-compliances are typically limited in scale or can be characterized as an unusual lapse in the system. Most minor NCs are the result of a non-conformance at the indicator-level. Non-compliances must be closed out within a specified time period of award of the certificate.

Opportunity for Improvement is an observation made which does not fully impact compliance but could potentially affect the PO’s ability to comply with RSB requirements in the future.

4.1.3 Major Non-compliances

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation. Any minor CARs from previous surveillance audits have been reviewed and closed prior to the issuance of a certificate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation, which have all been closed to the satisfaction of the audit team and meet the requirements of the standards. Any minor CARs from previous surveillance audits have been reviewed and closed prior to the issuance of a certificate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation and the Operator has not yet satisfactorily closed all major NCs.

4.1.4 Non-compliances and Current Status

2020 Initial Audit Non-compliances reviewed during 2021 1st Surveillance Audit

Summary of Non-compliances and Current Status				
NC Number	Type of NC	Relevant RSB Standard & Indicator No.	Summary of Finding and Evidence Collected	Status of Non-compliance (Open/Closed)
2020-1	minor	4.1 Standards checklist	The company has a grievance mechanism aligned with RSB procedures. However, the link between this procedure and the RSB team to whom any complains should be addressed is not done.	Closed

			<p>Update: Action plan/ RCA received</p> <p>2021 Update: The client named a responsible person for grievances in the Handbuch and enabled an email address for RSB related complaints which is published in Greatviews webpage. RSB Handbuch updated to reflect these changes in section 4.3.8</p>	
2020-4	Major, downgraded to an observation in technical review.	11.7.1, 14.12.1-4 Standards checklist	<p>The product is composed of three fossil based chemicals which have not been normalized to express the total fossil feedstock demand in one common unit. The fossil demand of each chemical is not clear at the time of the audit.</p> <p>Update: Action plan/ RCA received</p> <p>Following technical review: This NC is graded as an observation as the issue cannot be corrected by Greatview and must be addressed at the level of the certified supplier.</p> <p>2021 Update: The operator has displaced 25% of the 3 polymers used in the packaging, calculated on a mass basis and oversupplying one of them to cover the demand of another without normalization. This seems to be approved by RSB: - claim approved as part of document "RSB claims_auditor approved_Scan" by Nicola Noponen (RSB) and Stefan Schumacher (SCS auditor), which reflects substitution on a mass basis. - email "RSB normalised yield - issue for adhesive polyethylene", date 15Oct2021, by Nicola Noponen.</p>	Closed
2020-5	Minor	5.1 Standards checklist	<p>The IT systems operated along with the certifications in place indicate developed and robust infrastructure seems to incorporate the RSB tracking system. The operator plans to generate unique codes for RSB but will not be able to generate them until they receive RSB material, for which they need the certificate. The auditor could therefore not yet verify complete traceability of the RSB incoming and outgoing material.</p> <p>Update: Action plan/ RCA received</p>	Closed

			<p>2021 Update:</p> <p>The operator has implemented a chain of custody system that allows tracking of incoming/outgoing RSB certified material from purchase order and shipping documents to the mass balance book-keeping.</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

2021 1st Scope Expansion Audit Non-compliances reviewed during 2021 1st Surveillance Audit

Summary of Non-compliances and Current Status				
NC Number	Type of NC	Relevant RSB Standard & Indicator No.	Summary of Finding and Evidence Collected	Status of Non-compliance (Open/Closed)
2020-1	minor	4.1 Standards checklist	<p>The company has a grievance mechanism aligned with RSB procedures. However, the link between this procedure and the RSB team to whom any complains should be addressed is not done.</p> <p>Update: Action plan/ RCA received</p> <p>Surveillance audit update: Covered in the Handbook and in internal procedures Prozessbeschreibung "Reklamationsmanagement", "Auditmanagement" . The company has a grievance mechanism aligned with RSB procedures.</p> <p>The client named a responsible person for grievances in the Handbuch and enabled an email address for RSB related complaints which is published in Greatviews webpage. RSB Handbuch updated to reflect these changes in section 4.3.8</p>	Closed
2020-4	Major, downgraded to an observation in technical review.	11.7.1, 14.12.1-4 Standards checklist	<p>The product is composed of three fossil-based chemicals which have not been normalized to express the total fossil feedstock demand in one common unit. The fossil demand of each chemical is not clear at the time of the audit.</p> <p>Update: Action plan/ RCA received</p> <p>Following technical review: This NC is graded as an observation as the issue cannot be corrected by Greatview and must be addressed at the level of the certified supplier.</p>	Closed

			<p>January 2022 Update: Greatview provided evidence that normalization is done by their supplier and Greatview must only replace the materials one by one by mass content. They are able to replace the quantity of M28N430 (adhesive) by over-delivering this quantity with LDPE material (19N430). Further communication from RSB on October 15, 2021 approves this approach.</p>	
2020-5	Minor	5.1 Standards checklist	<p>The IT systems operated along with the certifications in place indicate developed and robust infrastructure seems to incorporate the RSB tracking system. The operator plans to generate unique codes for RSB but will not be able to generate them until they receive RSB material, for which they need the certificate. The auditor could therefore not yet verify complete traceability of the RSB incoming and outgoing material.</p> <p>Update: Action plan/ RCA received</p> <p>Surveillance audit update: The operator has implemented a chain of custody system that allows tracking of incoming/outgoing RSB certified material from purchase order and shipping documents to the mass balance book-keeping.</p>	Closed

2021 1st Surveillance Audit

Summary of Non-compliances and Current Status				
NC Number	Type of NC	Relevant RSB Standard & Indicator No.	Summary of Finding and Evidence Collected	Status of Non-compliance (Open/Closed)
1-2021	NC minor	Standards Checklist 1.4 (RSB-PRO-30-001), 6.3.1 (RSB-PRO-20-001), 11.2 (RSB-PRO-50-001)	<p>The applicable certification scheme/system for Greatview is RSB Global, which is sometime confused with some of the applicable standards (eg. RSB Standard for Advanced Products). The applicable certification scheme is missing from the scope description and from the outgoing POSs</p> <p>RCA Provided</p>	Open
2-2021	Opportunity for	Standards Checklist 6.3.3. (RSB-PRO-20-	The product description in the POS currently reads as a claim "bio-attributed packaging material", but could better reflect the scope by using a	Open

	improvement	001), 11.4.1 (RSB-PRO-50-001)	description closer to that stated as output in the scope, since the bio-attributed material is the coating (polymer) rather than the packaging.	
3-2021	Opportunity for improvement	Standards Checklist 2.1 (RSB-PRO-30-001)	The operator has an internal training system but there is no specific training plan for RSB related functions. This plan can be relevant to foresee changes of responsibilities in key positions, although this has not happened yet.	Open
4-2021	Opportunity for improvement	Standards Checklist 1.9(PRO-30-001 and RSB-PRO-60-001)	Also GAPE, with trading functions under the RSB scope, shall be assessed under the self-risk assessment tool	Open
5-2021	Observation	Standards Checklist 1.4 (RSB-PRO-30-001)	The document "RSB - Scope definition" includes sister company Greatview Beijing Trading Co., which is not formally included in the RSB scope. This is due to the minor supporting functions carried out by the entity on behalf of the entities under the scope (order placement for raw material and packaging design).	Open
6-2021	Observation	RSB-PRO-50-001	The two customer orders received related to the two shipments of packaging with RSB-certified bio-attributed polymer refer to "biobased" when requesting packaging. It should be ensured that clients understand the sustainability characteristics of Greatview's RSB certified products (bio-attributed).	Open

5.0 CERTIFICATION DECISION

Certification Recommendation		
For Initial and Re-certifications: Operator to be awarded RSB certification subject to the minor non-compliances stated in Section 4.2.5.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
For Surveillance Audits: Operator is to continue as an RSB certified Participating Operator subject to the minor non-compliances stated in Section 4.2.5.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
The SCS evaluation team makes the above recommendation for certification based on the full and proper execution of the SCS Responsible Biofuels Program evaluation protocols. If certification is recommended, the Operator has satisfactorily demonstrated the following without exception:		
Operator has addressed any Major NC(s) assigned during the evaluation.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No Major NCs issued <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Operator has demonstrated that their system of management is capable of ensuring that all of the requirements of the applicable standards are met over the sites and facilities covered by the scope of the evaluation.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Operator has demonstrated that the described system of management is being implemented consistently over the sites and facilities covered by the scope of the certificate.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Comments and/or details of any issue which was difficult and/or impossible to evaluate:	NA	
To be completed by Certification Decision-Making Entity	Technical Review by: If different to decision-maker	Inna Kitaychik
	Certification decision:	Continued certification against standards listed in Section 1.2.2
	Certification decision by:	Inna Kitaychik
	Date of decision: For initial or continued certification	10 December 2021
	Surveillance schedule:	2 nd Surveillance audit by 3 November 2022
Notes:		