

# RSB EU RED Surveillance and RSB ICAO CORSIA Certification Evaluation Summary Report

*Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials*

*RSB EU RED / RSB ICAO CORSIA*

*SkyNRG B.V.*

**SCS Certificate Code: SCS-RSB/C-0014**

Paradijsplein 1, Amsterdam, 1093 NJ, Netherlands

Maarten Van Dijk

[www.skynrg.com](http://www.skynrg.com)

CERTIFIED	EXPIRATION
March 26, 2020	March 25, 2025

DATE OF AUDIT: 1 <sup>st</sup> SURVEILLANCE and SCOPE EXPANSION (RSB ICAO CORSIA)
March 25, 2021
DATE OF LAST UPDATE
May 17, 2021

SCS Contact:

**Matthew Rudolf** | Managing Director, International Operations  
+1.919.533.4886 (direct) [mrudolf@scsglobalservices.com](mailto:mrudolf@scsglobalservices.com)



## FOREWORD

SCS Global Services (SCS) is a certification body accredited by the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials (RSB) to conduct evaluations of biofuel operators (CB Registration No. 592). Under the RSB/SCS certification system, participating operators meeting international standards of biofuel production can be certified as “sustainable,” thereby permitting the Operator’s use of the RSB endorsement and logo in the marketplace subject to regular RSB/SCS oversight.

SCS deploys interdisciplinary teams of natural resource specialists and other experts all over the world to conduct evaluations of biofuel operations. SCS evaluation teams collect and analyze written materials, conduct interviews with Participating Operator’s staff and key stakeholders, and complete field and office audits of the operation(s) identified in the certification scope. Upon completion of the fact-finding phase of all evaluations, SCS teams determine compliance to the RSB Principles and Criteria.

Please Note: An RSB certificate itself does not constitute evidence that a particular product supplied by the certificate holder is certified to RSB standards. Products offered, shipped or sold by the certificate holder can only be considered covered by the scope of this certificate when the required RSB claim is clearly stated on-product. For more information about the RSB, visit their website at [www.rsb.org](http://www.rsb.org).

### Organization of the Report

This report of the results of our evaluation is divided into two sections. Section A provides the public summary and background information that is required by the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials. This section is made available to the general public and is intended to provide an overview of the evaluation process, the management programs, and policies applied to the Participating Operator, and the results of the evaluation. Section A will be posted on the RSB Participating Operators Database (<http://rsb.org/certification/participating-operators/>). Section B contains more detailed results and information for use by the Participating Operator.

## CONTENTS

<b>SECTION A – PUBLIC SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Operator Information .....	3
1.1.1 Name and Contact Information .....	3
1.2 Scope of Certificate.....	3
1.2.1 Determination of Extent of Audit .....	4
1.2.2 Standards Used .....	4
1.3 Sites in Scope .....	4
1.3.1 Traders or Warehouses.....	4
1.4 GHG Intensity.....	5
1.5 Additional Requirements for GHGs, If Applicable.....	5
<b>2.0 EVALUATION PLANNING &amp; PROCESS.....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Audit Team.....	5
2.2 Evaluation Schedule and Extent of Audit.....	5
2.2.1 RSB Audit types Matrix .....	5
2.2.2 Methodology and Strategies Employed.....	6
2.2.3 Evaluation Itinerary and Activities .....	6
2.3 Evaluation of Management System.....	7
2.3.1 Capacity of the participating operator to implement its management systems.....	7
2.3.2 Evaluation of RSB compliance claims and use of RSB trademarks .....	7
<b>3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4.0 RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION .....</b>	<b>8</b>
4.1 Process of Determining Compliance.....	8
4.1.1 Structure of Standard and Degrees of Non-Compliance .....	8
4.1.2 Interpretations of Findings .....	8
4.1.3 Major Non-compliances.....	9
4.1.4 Non-compliances and Current Status .....	9
<b>5.0 CERTIFICATION DECISION .....</b>	<b>10</b>

## SECTION A – PUBLIC SUMMARY

### 1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

#### 1.1 Operator Information

##### 1.1.1 Name and Contact Information

Organization name	SkyNRG B.V.		
Operator Number	573		
Contact person	Maarten Van Dijk		
Address	Paradijsplein 1, Amsterdam, 1093 NJ, Netherlands	Telephone	+ 31 (0) 20 4707020
		Fax	
		e-mail	Maarten@skynrg.com
		Website	www.skynrg.com

#### 1.2 Scope of Certificate

Please select one:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>RSB EU RED</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>RSB ICAO CORSIA</b>
Please select boxes that apply:	<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Initial Assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Re-certification <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Scope-expansion (RSB ICAO CORSIA) <input type="checkbox"/> Follow-Up to NCs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1st Annual Surveillance (RSB EU RED) <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd Annual Surveillance <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd Annual Surveillance <input type="checkbox"/> 4th Annual Surveillance
Scope as it appears on certificate:	Trader and Blender of Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) (no storage).	
The scope assessment agrees with the scope under which the operator applied	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If no, please explain:	N/A	
<p><i>Note 1: If the scope is different, please contact SCS.</i></p> <p><i>Note 2: Where the client uses external organizations (public or private) to provide utilities services, such as electricity, waste disposal, water, the auditor shall check that these organizations are run according to local requirements (i.e. the law) but these organizations will not be considered in scope of the audit. Therefore no on-site visits to these utility services are required.</i></p>		
<b>Total workers covered by scope of certification:</b>	31 Full time, 4 part time	
<b>Number of women workers</b>	11 women full time, 2 women part time	

### 1.2.1 Determination of Extent of Audit

Total number of subsidiaries, branch offices, affiliated entities, external third parties contracted or otherwise engaged, operational structures, sites, facilities, processing and production units, and supply chain structures	1 Office
Participating Operator Risk Class	Low
Disputes or prior Non-compliances	NC closed

### 1.2.2 Standards Used

#### Applicable RSB-Accredited Standards

Standard Name and Version
RSB Procedure for Traceability RSB-PRO-20-001 V 3.2
RSB Procedure for Participating Operators RSB-PRO-30-001 V3.2
RSB Procedure for Risk Management RSB-PRO-60-001 V3.2
RSB Procedure on Communication and Claims RSB-PRO-50-001 V3.5
RSB Waste and Residues RSB-STD-11-001-01-010 V. 1.2
RSB Standard for ICAO CORSIA RSB-STD-12-001 V. 1.1
RSB Standard for Advanced Fuels RSB-STD-01-010 V 2.3
RSB Standard for EU market access RSB-STD-11-001 V3.2
RSB EU RED Standard for Traceability RSB-STD-11-001-20-001 V3.6

All standards employed are available on the websites of the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials (<https://rsb.org/the-rsb-standard/working-with-the-rsb-standard/>). Standards are also available, upon request, from SCS Global Services.

### 1.3 Sites in Scope

#### 1.3.1 Traders or Warehouses

<b>1. Name</b>	SkyNRG B.V.
<b>Location/City</b>	Amsterdam
<b>Geographic location (<i>Latitude &amp; Longitude</i>)</b>	52.35777, 4.93237 (legal seat)
<b>Material stored:</b>	N/A

### 1.4 GHG Intensity

Please state the GHG emissions occurring at the operator’s sites in g CO2eq/ dry-ton for raw materials and intermediary products and g CO2eq/MJ for final biofuels (annualized, after allocation) and if applicable, achieved emission savings, and if applicable, explanation for deviation from typical GHG values			
Final Biofuel:	Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF)	GHG:	Not Applicable

### 1.5 Additional Requirements for GHGs, If Applicable

(Can be moved to appendix if certain information is confidential)

For RSB EU RED audits, Explanation for deviation from typical GHG values.	N/A No RSB sales since last audit
---------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

## 2.0 EVALUATION PLANNING & PROCESS

### 2.1 Audit Team

<b>Auditor Name:</b>	Marinka Vignali	<b>Auditor role:</b>	Lead Auditor
<b>Qualifications:</b> Marinka is a certified Auditor against 2 EU approved voluntary schemes (RSB and ISCC EU) and 2 RED national schemes (Italian national scheme and ISCC DE) with many years of experience in biofuels sector. Previously she has worked at European Commission for 9 years, at DG JRC - Renewable Energy Unit. She has received a Master in Chemical Engineering at Università degli Studi di Pisa (Pisa, Italy) and a PhD in Chemistry at University of Limerick (Limerick, Ireland). Qualified lead auditor for RSB CORSIA.			
<b>Auditor Name:</b>	Justin Richter	<b>Auditor role:</b>	GHG Verifier
<b>Qualifications:</b> Dr. Richter is a Life Cycle Analysis practitioner and Supply Chain researcher in the areas of biofuels, renewable energy, advanced products, and social impacts. He holds GHG certification from ISCC. Dr. Richter has received a Ph.D. in Environmental and Ecological Engineering from Purdue University (West Lafayette, IN, USA).			

### 2.2 Evaluation Schedule and Extent of Audit

#### 2.2.1 RSB Audit types Matrix

	Low risk class	Medium risk class	High risk class
Certificate validity	5 years	3 years	2 years
Main audit	Every 5 years	Every 3 years	Every 2 years
Surveillance audit	Annual	Annual	Annual

### 2.2.2 Methodology and Strategies Employed

SCS deploys interdisciplinary teams with expertise in agriculture, ecology, forestry, social sciences, natural resource economics, and other relevant fields to assess an Operator’s compliance to RSB standards and policies. Evaluation methods include document and record review, implementing sampling strategies to visit a broad number of site and facility types, observation of implementation of management plans and policies, and stakeholder analysis. When there is more than one team member, team members may review parts of the standards based on their background and expertise. On the final day of an evaluation, team members convene to deliberate the findings of the assessment jointly. This involves an analysis of all relevant site observations, stakeholder comments, and reviewed documents and records. Where consensus between team members cannot be achieved due to lack of evidence, conflicting evidence or differences of interpretation of the standards, the team is instructed to report these in the certification decision section.

### 2.2.3 Evaluation Itinerary and Activities

Time	Element/Activity	Personnel
25 March 2021	<b>Remote audit</b>	
08:30 a.m.	<b>Opening Meeting and General Requirements</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Introduction to certification program and assessment process to on-site staff</li> <li>- Review of scheduled activities</li> <li>- Review of RSB Procedures; confirm roles, responsibilities, and processes.</li> <li>- Confirmation of scope of products to be certified</li> <li>- Clarification of all suppliers; i.e. transportation, storage</li> <li>- Client to outline production process and overall process flow</li> <li>- Review site map(s) if relevant</li> <li>- Update from client and any social or environmental changes to the operation</li> <li>- Follow up on implementation of any corrective action plans from desk audit or previous initial field audit</li> </ul>	Management
09:30	<b>Document Review: Participating Operator/ Standards Checklist</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Review of training procedures and records</li> <li>- Review of Grievance Mechanism</li> <li>- Review of traceability method and implementation (including acquiring, handling, and forwarding sustainable material</li> <li>- Analysis of material balances and records</li> <li>- Review of records</li> <li>- Review of GHG inputs and CORSIA GHG requirements</li> <li>- Communications and Claims</li> </ul>	Management

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Requirement for Advanced Fuels</li> <li>- Requirements for ICAO CORSIA – material eligibility (Annex II of standard)</li> </ul>	
16:00	<b>Report Writing</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Auditor(s) take time to consolidate notes and confirm audit findings</li> </ul>	Auditor
16:30	<b>Closing Meeting</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Presentation of General audit finding</li> <li>- Presentation of all non-compliances and opportunities for improvement</li> <li>- Fix timetables for corrective actions</li> <li>- Reiterate SCS appeal policy</li> <li>- Questions</li> </ul>	Management
	<b>End of Audit</b>	

## 2.3 Evaluation of Management System

### 2.3.1 Capacity of the participating operator to implement its management systems

Overall evaluation of management system implementation: (the details of the observations and substantiating evidence collected during evaluation of the central management office and management systems of the participating operator)

SkyNRG is under control of custom as selling a final fuel. The management in place guarantees a high control of physical traceability.

### 2.3.2 Evaluation of RSB compliance claims and use of RSB trademarks

<b>Are all claims used in line with scope and allowed claims per RSB-PRO-50-001 or Advanced Product Standard, as applicable?</b>	No RSB claims yet made
<b>If claims deviate from approved language in standard, signed document specifying claims approved by RSB:</b>	N/A
<b>Does Operator use RSB trademarks on off-product or on-product claims?</b>	Yes, off-product claim: <a href="https://skynrg.com/sustainability/roundtable-on-sustainable-biomaterials/">https://skynrg.com/sustainability/roundtable-on-sustainable-biomaterials/</a>



### 3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Highest Risk Class will Apply for the Participating Operator

Site	Based on the most recent self-risk assessment the PO's risk assessment results are (The number):	Corresponding risk class (low, medium, high):	Date of risk assessment (must be no older than 3 months from the audit date)	Auditor's assessment of Operator's risk
Trading scope	0	low	March 21	Coherent
Overall Risk	LOW			

### 4.0 RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION

#### 4.1 Process of Determining Compliance

##### 4.1.1 Structure of Standard and Degrees of Non-Compliance

RSB-accredited biofuel standards consist of a three-level hierarchy: the principle, the criteria that correspond to that principle, and then the performance indicators that elaborate upon each criterion. Consistent with SCS Sustainable Biofuels Program evaluation protocols, the team collectively determines whether or not the subject operation is in compliance with every applicable indicator of the relevant sustainable biofuel standard. Each non-compliance must be evaluated to determine whether it constitutes a major or minor non-compliance at the level of the associated criterion or sub-criterion. Not all indicators are equally important, and there is no simple numerical formula to determine whether an operation is in non-compliance. The team therefore must use their collective judgment to assess each criterion and determine if the Operator is in compliance. If the Operator is determined to be in non-compliance at the criterion level, then at least one of the applicable indicators must be in major non-compliance.

##### 4.1.2 Interpretations of Findings

*Major Non-compliances*, either alone or in combination with non-compliances of other applicable indicators, result (or are likely to result) in a fundamental failure to achieve the objectives of the relevant RSB Criterion. These non-compliances must be resolved or closed out before a certificate can be awarded. If Major NCs arise after an operation is certified, the timeframe for correcting these non-compliances is typically no more than three months. Certification is contingent on the operator's response to the NCs within the stipulated time frame.

*Minor Non-compliances* are typically limited in scale or can be characterized as an unusual lapse in the system. Most minor NCs are the result of a non-conformance at the indicator-level. Non-compliances must be closed out within a specified time period of award of the certificate.

*Opportunity for Improvement* is an observation made which does not fully impact compliance but could potentially affect the PO’s ability to comply with RSB requirements in the future.

**4.1.3 Major Non-compliances**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation. Any minor CARs from previous surveillance audits have been reviewed and closed prior to the issuance of a certificate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation, which have all been closed to the satisfaction of the audit team and meet the requirements of the standards. Any minor CARs from previous surveillance audits have been reviewed and closed prior to the issuance of a certificate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation and the Operator has not yet satisfactorily closed all major NCs.

**4.1.4 Non-compliances and Current Status**

Summary of Non-compliances and Current Status				
Non-compliance Number	Type of Non-compliance	Relevant RSB Standard & Indicator No.	Summary of Finding and Evidence Collected	Status of Non-compliance (Open/Closed)
None	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

## 5.0 CERTIFICATION DECISION

Certification Recommendation		
<b>For Initial and Re-certifications: Operator to be awarded RSB certification subject to the minor non-compliances stated in Section 1.2.2.</b>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> For RSB ICAO CORSA	
<b>For Surveillance Audits: Operator is to continue as an RSB certified Participating Operator subject to the minor non-compliances stated in Section 1.2.2</b>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> For RSB EU RED	
The SCS evaluation team makes the above recommendation for certification based on the full and proper execution of the SCS Responsible Biofuels Program evaluation protocols. If certification is recommended, the Operator has satisfactorily demonstrated the following without exception:		
Operator has addressed any Major NC(s) assigned during the evaluation.	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> No Major NCs issued <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Operator has demonstrated that their system of management is capable of ensuring that all of the requirements of the applicable standards are met over the sites and facilities covered by the scope of the evaluation.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Operator has demonstrated that the described system of management is being implemented consistently over the sites and facilities covered by the scope of the certificate.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Comments and/or details of any issue which was difficult and/or impossible to evaluate:		
<b>To be completed by Certification Decision-Making Entity</b>	<b>Technical Review by:</b> If different to decision-maker	Robert Earley
	<b>Certification decision:</b>	Continued certification under the RSB EU RED scheme and new certification under the RSB ICAO CORSIA scheme against the standards listed in Section 1.2.2
	<b>Certification decision by:</b>	Robert Earley
	<b>Date of decision:</b> For initial or continued certification	6 May 2021
	<b>Surveillance schedule:</b>	By 24 March, 2022
Notes:		