

Certification Evaluation Report

Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials

AgroAurora S.A.C and Agrojibito S.A.

SCS Certificate Code-SCS-RSB/PC-0021

Av. Parque Fábrica s/n - Casa Grande – Ascope - La Libertad, Peru
Farley de Leon

CERTIFIED	EXPIRATION
January 1, 2016	December 31, 2020

DATE(S) OF AUDIT
19 December – 24 December, 2018
DATE OF LAST UPDATE
2 February 2019

SCS Contact:
Matthew Rudolf | Manager, Biofuels
 +1.919.533.4886 (direct) mrudolf@scsglobalservices.com



FOREWORD

SCS Global Services (SCS) is a certification body accredited by the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials (RSB) to conduct evaluations of biofuel operators. Under the RSB/SCS certification system, participating operators meeting international standards of biofuel production can be certified as “sustainable,” thereby permitting the Operator’s use of the RSB endorsement and logo in the marketplace subject to regular RSB/SCS oversight.

SCS deploys interdisciplinary teams of natural resource specialists and other experts all over the world to conduct evaluations of biofuel operations. SCS evaluation teams collect and analyze written materials, conduct interviews with Participating Operator’s staff and key stakeholders, and complete field and office audits of the operation(s) identified in the certification scope. Upon completion of the fact-finding phase of all evaluations, SCS teams determine compliance to the RSB Principles and Criteria.

Please Note: An RSB certificate itself does not constitute evidence that a particular product supplied by the certificate holder is certified to RSB standards. Products offered, shipped or sold by the certificate holder can only be considered covered by the scope of this certificate when the required RSB claim is clearly stated on-product. For more information about the RSB, visit their website at www.rsb.org.

Organization of the Report

This report of the results of our evaluation is divided into two sections. Section A provides the public summary and background information that is required by the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials. This section is made available to the general public and is intended to provide an overview of the evaluation process, the management programs, and policies applied to the Participating Operator, and the results of the evaluation. Section A will be posted on the RSB Participating Operators Database (<http://rsb.org/certification/participating-operators/>). Section B contains more detailed results and information for use by the Participating Operator.

CONTENTS

SECTION A – PUBLIC SUMMARY	4
1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION	4
1.1 Operator Information	4
1.1.1 Name and Contact Information	4
1.1.2 Additional Parties Involved (Can be moved to appendix if certain information is confidential)	4
1.2 Scope of Certificate.....	5
1.3 Standards Used	8
1.3.1 Applicable RSB-Accredited Standards.....	8
2.0 EVALUATION PLANNING & PROCESS.....	8
2.1 Documentation Submitted by Operator	8
2.2 Audit Type and Determination	9
2.3 Audit Team.....	9
2.3.1 Determination of Audit Team	9
2.3.2 Audit Team.....	9
2.4 Evaluation Schedule and Extent of Audit.....	10
2.4.1 Determination of Extent of Audit	10
2.4.2 Evaluation Itinerary and Activities	10
2.5 Evaluation of Management System.....	12
2.5.1 Methodology and Strategies Employed.....	12
2.5.2 Capacity of the participating operator to implement its management systems.....	12
2.5.3 Evaluation of RSB compliance claims and use of RSB trademarks	12
2.6 Stakeholder Consultation Process (for Main audits)	13
2.6.1 Summary of Stakeholder Comments and Responses from the Team, (for Main audits).....	13
3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS	13
4.0 RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION	13
4.1 Process of Determining Compliance.....	13
4.1.1 Structure of Standard and Degrees of Non-Compliance	13
4.1.2 Interpretations of Major and Minor Non-compliances	13
4.1.3 Major Non-compliances.....	14
4.1.4 Non-compliances and Current Status	14

5.0 CERTIFICATION DECISION16

SECTION A – PUBLIC SUMMARY

1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Operator Information

1.1.1 Name and Contact Information

Organization name	Agropecuaria Aurora S.A.C. - Agrojobito S.A.		
Operator Number	1478		
Contact person	Farley de Leon		
Address	Main Office:	Telephone	+51 987 126 154
	Av. Parque Fábrica s/n - Casa Grande Ascope - La Libertad Peru Ethanol Plant: Km. 18 carretera Sullana – Paita, Peru	Fax	
		e-mail	fdeleon@coazucar.com.pe
		Website	

1.1.2 Additional Parties Involved (Can be moved to appendix if certain information is confidential)

Organization name	ENAPU PERU S.A.C.		
Contact person	Julio Tam Castillo – General Manager		
Address	Calle Córdova s/n Salaverry La Libertad - PERU	Telephone	+51 44 437339
		Fax	+51 44 437359
		e-mail	jtam@enapu.com.pe
		Website	www.enapu.com.pe
Nature of Involvement:			
In this facility, AgroAurora & Agrojobito rent 3 tanks for the storage of ethanol and an ethanol line to load the ships. The storage capacity of the tanks: one stores 3 million liters and two store 1.5 million liters each.			

Organization name	RANSA Comercial SA		
Contact person	Salustio Garcia Espinosa		
Address	Industrial zone II Mz" W "sub lot 01b paita / sullana road, Port of Paita, PERU	Telephone	073-002229 x120112
		Fax	
		e-mail	sgarciae@ransa.net
		Website	
Nature of Involvement:			
Rental of storage tanks for 5,000 m3 of ethanol			

1.2 Scope of Certificate

Please select one:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RSB EU RED	<input type="checkbox"/> RSB Global
Please select boxes that apply:	<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Initial Assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Re-certification <input type="checkbox"/> Follow-Up to NCs	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Annual Surveillance <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd Annual Surveillance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3rd Annual Surveillance <input type="checkbox"/> 4th Annual Surveillance
The scope assessment agrees with the scope under which the operator applied	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <i>Note – Additional External Storage Site Added in 2018</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If no, please explain:		
<i>Note: If the scope is different, please contact SCS.</i>		

SITE INFORMATION FOR AGRICULTURAL SITES OR FEEDSTOCK PRODUCTION SITES		
Site Type	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agriculture	<input type="checkbox"/> Forestry
	<input type="checkbox"/> Biomass Production	<input type="checkbox"/> Other:
Feedstock Produced:	Sugarcane	
Current Land Use	Prior Land Use	
<input type="checkbox"/> Biomass Production	<input type="checkbox"/> Biomass Production	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agriculture	<input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture	
<input type="checkbox"/> Other:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: Desert/Arid	
Current Employment on Site	Prior Employment on Site	
<input type="checkbox"/> Negligible	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Negligible	
<input type="checkbox"/> Local Average	<input type="checkbox"/> Local Average	

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Above Local Average	<input type="checkbox"/> Above Local Average			
<input type="checkbox"/> Full	<input type="checkbox"/> Full			
Total workers covered by scope of certification:	678			
Number of women workers	AgroJibito: 11 men, 0 women AgroAurora: 574 men, 64 women Subcontractors: 3 men, 26 women			
Owned/Controlled By:	AgroAurora S.A.C and AgroJibito S.A.			
Location/City:	Piura Valley The organization is located in uncultivated land located on the north coast of Peru in the jurisdictions of the provinces of Sullana and Paita of the department of Piura; extending along a river between 15 and 102 meters above sea level.			
Total farms included in certification scope	3			
Geographic location: *Confidential; in appendix of full report*	Farm/Entity	Location (Lat. - Long.)	Area (ha)	Area Planted (ha)
NEW Please request shapefiles of all farms				
Were shapefiles of farms requested?	Auditor requested shapefiles			
Did Operator provide shapefiles of farms included in scope of certification?	Organization is in the process of providing shapefiles			
Total Area (ha)	13,933			
Total Planted Area (ha)	7,433			
Total area set aside for conservation purposes (ha)	2341 Ha			
Annual Feedstock Production Volume (please specify unit of measurement)	3500 TM/día			
Amount sold as RSB certified in last calendar year (tons)	0 (Sugar cane not sold as RSB certified; used internally)			

INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	
Name	Agropecuaria Aurora S.A.C. - Agrojibito S.A.
Type	<input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture Milling and/or Fermentation <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetable oil Extraction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biofuel Production and/or Distribution <input type="checkbox"/> Storage or Distribution <input type="checkbox"/> Other, please explain here:
Location/City	Piura Valley, Peru
Geographic location (<i>Latitude & Longitude</i>)	Latitude: -4.960299098969914 Longitude: -80.87345123291016
Start date of operations (initial start date)	August 13th, 2015
Number of processing steps	1. Agriculture phase: Primary field production, planting, irrigation, harvest, transport 2. Ethanol plant: Secondary production of ethanol, reception, transformation, dispatch 3. Storage and Loading Facility: Storage and dispatch
Annual throughput of previous 12 months (Can be moved to appendix if certain information is confidential)	
Feedstock Input (Metric Ton)	3500 TM/día
Final/Primary Product Output (Metric Ton)	250 m3/día
Intermediate/by-product Output (Metric Ton)	1,088 TM/día
% output yield compared to input material (total output/total input)	70 L/TM caña
Amount sold as RSB certified (tons)	19,419.9 M3
Description of Production/Processing Activities: Agrojibito S.A. and Agroaurora S.A.C was born from the purchase by Grupo Gloria from the fiduciary S.A. which was entitled to the trust of the companies Maple Ethanol S.R.L. and Maple Biofuels S.R.L. Agroaurora S.A.C has 13,933 hectares, with 7,433 hectares planted in sugarcane, under drip irrigation and completely mechanized from sowing to harvest, which is sent to Agrojibito S.A. which has an ethanol plant with a capacity to grind 3,500 tons of sugarcane per day and with production of up to 100,000 metric tons of ethanol per year. It includes a power plant of 37 megawatts ("MW") supplied by the surplus bagasse of ethanol production to supply all the energy needs of the operation (agriculture and plant) with the sale of any excess interconnected energy system of Peru. The Storage and Distribution takes place in the Port of Salaverry, operated by ENAPU PERU S.A.C. In this facility, Agrojibito rents an area with three tanks for ethanol storage and an ethanol line to load	

ships. The total storage capacity is 6 million liters, with a tank of 3 million liters and two tanks of 1.5 million liters each.								
For Biofuels Producers: Please state the GHG emissions occurring at the operator's sites in kg CO₂eq/ dry-ton for raw materials and intermediary products and g CO₂eq/MJ for final biofuels (annualized, after allocation) and if applicable, achieved emission savings, and if applicable, explanation for deviation from typical GHG values								
Eec	El	Ep	Etd	Eu	Esca	Eccs	Eccr	Ecc
15.04	0	0.38	0.93	0	0	0	0	0
Final Biofuel	Sugarcane Ethanol			GHG	16.35 g CO₂ eq/ MJ (80.49% emissions savings)			
<i>Add more lines as you see fit</i>								

1.3 Standards Used

1.3.1 Applicable RSB-Accredited Standards

Title	Version	Date of Finalization
• RSB Chain of Custody	RSB-STD-11-001-20-001 V3.5	30 August 2016
• RSB Standard for Participating Operators	RSB-STD-11-001-30-001 V3.2	2 June 2016
• RSB Risk Management	RSB-STD-11-001-60-001 V3.2	30 August 2016
• RSB Procedure on Communication and Claims	RSB-PRO-11-001-50-001 V3.2	March 2017
• RSB Standard for EU Market Access	RSB-STD-11-001 V 3.1	20 March 2017

All standards employed are available on the websites of the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials (<http://rsb.org/sustainability/rsb-sustainability-standards/>). Standards are also available, upon request, from SCS Global Services (<http://www.scsglobalservices.com/>).

2.0 EVALUATION PLANNING & PROCESS

2.1 Documentation Submitted by Operator

Description	Code	Version / Date
Mass Balance Procedures	CO10-GEGC-P-19	V02, 01/06/2018
Mass Balance Chain of Custody Manual	CO10-GEGC-M-03	01/09/2018
Master List, Record Control Form	CO10-GEGC-R-2.1	V01, 01/11/18
Environmental Impact Study		09/06/2016
GHG Calculations		

Shipping Documents, November 2018 (Various)		
Contracts (Various)		
Tank Storage, PAITA (RANSA)		
Private Security (J&V Resguardo S.A.C.)		
Turismo Expreso Samanga S.R.L. (Staff Transportation)		

2.2 Audit Type and Determination

The following table summarizes the audit types for RSB Audits:

	Low risk class	Medium risk class	High risk class
Certificate validity	5 years	3 years	2 year
Main audit	Every 5 years	Every 3 years	Every 2 year
Surveillance audit	Annual	Annual	Annual

2.3 Audit Team

2.3.1 Determination of Audit Team

- Desk audits shall always be conducted by at minimum one (1) international lead auditor. The lead auditor appointed may include additional auditors and/or technical experts in the audit team if this is required by the extent of the audit.
- Field audits shall always be led by one (1) international lead auditor.
- The lead auditor appointed shall include at minimum one (1) local auditor in the audit team. The lead auditor appointed may include additional auditors and/or technical experts in the audit team if this is required by the extent of the audit.
- For field audits of participating operators in High Risk class shall always the lead auditor appointed shall appoint at minimum
 - one (1) local auditor and
 - one (1) technical expert on social issues to evaluate compliance with social requirements and the risk of non-compliance due to social issues and
 - one (1) technical expert on environmental issues to evaluate compliance with environmental requirements and the risk of non-compliance due to environmental issues.
 - The lead auditor appointed may include additional auditors and/or technical experts in the audit team if this is required by the extent of the audit.

2.3.2 Audit Team

Auditor Name:	Jorge Hernán Gómez	Auditor role:	Lead Auditor
----------------------	--------------------	----------------------	--------------

<p>Qualifications: Jorge is an Agronomist Engineer who graduated from the University of Caldas with more than 15 years of experience.</p> <p>He is an International Lead Auditor for FSC Chain of custody, RSB, ISCC, BONSUCRO, Florverde, and ISO 14001. He is also an auditor in ISO 9001.2015, BPM and HACCP.</p> <p>He additionally participated in the first audit in Suramericana of RSPO as the auditor of the DAABON group and as a consultant to help INDUPALMA achieve certification under RSPO. He was also integral in the creation of the IDMNS, which is a comparison tool for different sustainability standards (RSB, RSPO, RTRS, BSI and RAS-RA).</p>			
Auditor Name:	Matthew Rudolf	Auditor role:	Auditor
<p>Qualifications:</p> <p>Matthew is the RSB Program Manager for SCS Global Services. Prior to his work at SCS Matt was part of the RSB Secretariat where he helped to put together and launch the RSB certification program. Matt is qualified as a Lead Auditor for RSB, ISCC and ISO 9001.</p>			

2.4 Evaluation Schedule and Extent of Audit

2.4.1 Determination of Extent of Audit

Total number of subsidiaries, branch offices, affiliated entities, external third parties contracted or otherwise engaged, operational structures, sites, facilities, processing and production units, and supply chain structures	1 Industrial Facility 1 Farm (3 fields managed by the company) 2 Storage facility
Participating Operator Risk Class	Low risk (Score=3)
Disputes or prior Non-compliances	None
Changes in scope since last evaluation	None
Total number of compliance claims	None

2.4.2 Evaluation Itinerary and Activities

Time	Element/Activity	Personnel
10 Dec 2018	Site: Office (Remote)	
8:00 a.m.	Opening Meeting	Management Lead auditor

8:30	General / RSB Non-conformities Review Stakeholder Consultation General Requirements	Management Lead auditor
12:30 p.m.	Lunch Break	
2:00 p.m.	Training Risk Management Grievance ESMP	Management Lead auditor
5:30 p.m.	End of Day, Summary of Results	Management Lead auditor
11 Dec 2018	Site: Office (Remote)	Personnel Involved
8:00 a.m.	Compliance with progress requirements RSB standard General requirements for the traceability of certified material Records	Management Lead auditor
12:30 p.m.	Lunch Break	
2:00 p.m.	Requirements for Mass Balance Chain of Custody systems	Management
4:30 p.m.	Time Auditors	Lead auditor
5:30 p.m.	Closing meeting	Management Lead auditor

2.5 Evaluation of Management System

2.5.1 Methodology and Strategies Employed

A remote audit was conducted in which relevant documents were requested and reviewed remotely by the Lead Auditor. The audit checklist was completed and nonconformances identified. During the second day the Team Audit further reviewed documents and findings for the overall audit were presented to the client.

2.5.2 Capacity of the participating operator to implement its management systems

Note: include an overall evaluation of the participating operator’s responsiveness and ability to consistently and effectively implement its management system based on the financial, technical, and human resources available.

AgroAurora and Agrojibito are Peruvian companies dedicated to the cultivation, transformation and industrialization of sugarcane and the commercialization of products and sub products derived from it, such as industrial grade anhydrous ethanol. AgroAurora has indicated their desire to follow RSB standard to demonstrate their commitment to respect for the environment, the social impacts and ensure a robust mass balance chain of custody system, in its activities as strategic and essential factors to maintain a high level in the development of their activities.

AgroAurora and Agrojibito have demonstrated consistent operations over the past year with stable production. As part of the Grupo Gloria family of businesses, AgroAurora and Agrojibito benefit from significant resources to implement appropriate social and environmental systems to meet the RSB certification requirements. All indications are that the company has the ability to consistently and effectively implement its management systems, in accordance with the RSB certification systems.

2.5.3 Evaluation of RSB compliance claims and use of RSB trademarks

Type (compliance claim, trademark use)	Description	Findings
Compliance Claim	It was evidenced that the organization provides the following information in the form of short RSB claims, according to RSB-PRO-11-001-50-001: "The equivalent mass of this biomass / biofuel originated in production operations certified as complying with the RSB standards".	Invoice FX04 N0000006 for Customer "Snetor", in 17 April 2018 from port of Salaverry, Trujillo.

2.6 Stakeholder Consultation Process (for Main audits)

Stakeholder consultation not relevant for surveillance audits.

2.6.1 Summary of Stakeholder Comments and Responses from the Team, (for Main audits)

Stakeholder consultation not relevant for surveillance audits.

3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Based on the most recent self-risk assessment (no older than 3 months from the audit date) the PO's risk assessment results are:	Corresponding risk class (low, medium, high):
3	Low risk

4.0 RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION

4.1 Process of Determining Compliance

4.1.1 Structure of Standard and Degrees of Non-Compliance

RSB-accredited biofuel standards consist of a three-level hierarchy: the principle, the criteria that correspond to that principle, and then the performance indicators that elaborate upon each criterion. Consistent with SCS Sustainable Biofuels Program evaluation protocols, the team collectively determines whether or not the subject operation is in compliance with every applicable indicator of the relevant sustainable biofuel standard. Each non-compliance must be evaluated to determine whether it constitutes a major or minor non-compliance at the level of the associated criterion or sub-criterion. Not all indicators are equally important, and there is no simple numerical formula to determine whether an operation is in non-compliance. The team therefore must use their collective judgment to assess each criterion and determine if the Operator is in compliance. If the Operator is determined to be in non-compliance at the criterion level, then at least one of the applicable indicators must be in major non-compliance.

4.1.2 Interpretations of Major and Minor Non-compliances

Major Non-compliances, either alone or in combination with non-compliances of other applicable indicators, result (or are likely to result) in a fundamental failure to achieve the objectives of the relevant RSB Criterion. These non-compliances must be resolved or closed out before a certificate can be awarded. If Major NCs arise after an operation is certified, the timeframe for correcting these non-compliances is typically no more than three months. Certification is contingent on the operator's response to the NCs within the stipulated time frame.

Minor Non-compliances are typically limited in scale or can be characterized as an unusual lapse in the system. Most minor NCs are the result of a non-conformance at the indicator-level. Non-compliances must be closed out within a specified time period of award of the certificate.

4.1.3 Major Non-compliances

<input type="checkbox"/>	No major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation. Any minor CARs from previous surveillance audits have been reviewed and closed prior to the issuance of a certificate.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation, which have all been closed to the satisfaction of the audit team and meet the requirements of the standards. Any minor CARs from previous surveillance audits have been reviewed and closed prior to the issuance of a certificate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation and the Operator has not yet satisfactorily closed all major NCs.

4.1.4 Non-compliances and Current Status

Instructions:

- Initial certification audit: list all Non-conformities and Opportunities for Improvement issued during this audit.
- Surveillance/Recertification: list status of minor NCs that were not closed in previous audit, then list new NCs/OFIs issued during this audit.

Summary of Non-compliances and Current Status				
Non-compliance Number	Type of Non-compliance	Relevant RSB Standard & Indicator No.	Summary of Finding and Evidence Collected	Status of Non-compliance (Open/Closed)
2018-1	Major	P&C 3.b.1	Incorrect use of allocation of emissions to agricultural residues	Closed
2018-2	Minor	PO 6.4.2	There is no evidence that the organization ensures that in its shipping documents to the client have the following information: - Valid RSB certificate number, certification body and chain of custody model used. since when reviewing the invoice FX04 N0000006 for the Snetor customer for the month of May, for 3,000.83 cubic meters, anhydrous ethyl alcohol RSB EU RED, this document did not contain this information. Company updated the shipping documents before the end of the audit	Closed

2018-3	Minor	9.d.7	The company indicates the use of application of the vinasse through a fertireigo system, but no any analysis of what is in the vinazas (characterization) has been conducted. Company indicates this has also been requested by Peruvian environmental authorities.	Open
2018-4	Obs	P&C Criteria 7.d.3	No evidence of the use of ecological corridors was incorporated into the ESIA, however the company indicates it is working to integrate biological/ecological corridors into their ecological planning.	Open
2018-5	Obs	P&C Criteria 7.d.4	It was evidenced that the organization plans to carry out future studies to determine if this criterion applies to it or not.	Open
2018-6	Obs	P&C Criteria 9.b.6	According to data provided, water use efficiency has not improved over time, although the company indicates they are within the environmental license limits. The company indicates that the data are incorrect as they do not take into consideration new fields that are not yet productive.	Open
2018-7	Obs	P&C Criteria 10.b.2	Company has a controlled burn policy to minimize impact of cane burning on local communities. Nevertheless, burning has increased in 2018. The RSB standard calls for a phase out plan, or else a justification for why it is not feasible. Company has not provided evidence of a phase out plan for burning.	Closed
2018-8	Obs	P&C Criteria 11.d.7	Company indicates that the restricted chemicals are those indicated in the WHO List 1a and 1b, the Stockholm Convention, the Rotterdam convention and the Montreal Protocol, and that all purchases are verified against this list. No official policy or procedure was observed.	Closed
2018-9	Major	RSB Request	Shapefiles for farms not yet provided	Closed

Summary of Non-compliances and Current Status - Minor NCs from Previous Audit (2017)				
Non-compliance Number	Type of Non-compliance	Relevant RSB Standard & Indicator No.	Summary of Finding and Evidence Collected	Status of Non-compliance (Open/Closed)
2017-6	Minor	RSB Request	Shapefiles for farms not yet provided	Closed

5.0 CERTIFICATION DECISION

Certification Recommendation		
Operator to be awarded RSB certification subject to the minor non-compliances stated in Section 4.2.5.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
The SCS evaluation team makes the above recommendation for certification based on the full and proper execution of the SCS Responsible Biofuels Program evaluation protocols. If certification is recommended, the Operator has satisfactorily demonstrated the following without exception:		
Operator has addressed any Major NC(s) assigned during the evaluation.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Operator has demonstrated that their system of management is capable of ensuring that all of the requirements of the applicable standards are met over the sites and facilities covered by the scope of the evaluation.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Operator has demonstrated that the described system of management is being implemented consistently over the sites and facilities covered by the scope of the certificate.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Comments and/or details of any issue which was difficult and/or impossible to evaluate:		
To be completed by Certification Decision-Making Entity	Technical Review by: If different to decision-maker	Same as decision maker
	Certification decision:	Continued certification against the standards listed in section 1.3
	Certification decision by:	Inna Kitaychik
	Date of decision: For initial or continued certification	February 1, 2019
	Surveillance schedule:	4 th Surveillance Audit in December 2019