

# Certification Evaluation Report

## *Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials*

### *Biomass Group*

#### **SCS Certificate Code-SCS-RSB/PC-0017**

8A, St.Peter's Place, Colombo 4, Sri Lanka

Piumi Lakchani Denagamage

[www.biomass-group.com](http://www.biomass-group.com)

CERTIFIED	EXPIRATION
August 26, 2015	August 25, 2020

DATE(S) OF AUDIT
December 5-6, 2017
DATE OF LAST UPDATE
April 27, 2018

SCS Contact:

**Matthew Rudolf** | Manager, Biofuels

+1.919.533.4886 (direct) [mrudolf@scsglobalservices.com](mailto:mrudolf@scsglobalservices.com)

**SCS**global  
SERVICES

*Setting the standard for sustainability™*

## FOREWORD

---

SCS Global Services(SCS) is a certification body accredited by the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials (RSB) to conduct evaluations of biofuel operators.Under the RSB/SCS certification system, participating operators meeting international standards of biofuel production can be certified as “sustainable,” thereby permitting the Operator’s use of the RSB endorsement and logo in the marketplace subject to regular RSB/SCS oversight.

SCS deploys interdisciplinary teams of natural resource specialists and other expertsall over the world to conduct evaluations of biofuel operations. SCSevaluation teams collect and analyze written materials, conduct interviews with Participating Operator’s staff and key stakeholders, and complete field and office audits of theoperation(s)identified in the certification scope. Upon completion of the fact-finding phase of all evaluations, SCS teams determine compliance to the RSB Principles and Criteria.

Please Note: An RSB certificate itself does not constitute evidence that a particular product supplied by the certificate holder is certified to RSB standards. Products offered, shipped or sold by the certificate holder can only be considered covered by the scope of this certificate when the required RSBclaim is clearly stated on-product. For more information about the RSB, visit their website at [www.rsb.org](http://www.rsb.org).

### **Organization of the Report**

This report of the results of our evaluation is divided into two sections. Section A provides the public summary and background information that is required by the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials. This section is made available to the general public and is intended to provide an overview of the evaluation process, the management programs, and policies applied to the Participating Operator, and the results of the evaluation. Section A will be posted on the RSB Participating Operators Database (<http://rsb.org/certification/participating-operators/>). Section B contains more detailed results and information for use by the Participating Operator.

## CONTENTS

---

<b>SECTION A – PUBLIC SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1 Operator Information .....	4
1.1.1 Name and Contact Information.....	4
1.2 Scope of Certificate.....	4
1.3 Standards Used.....	6
1.3.1 Applicable RSB-Accredited Standards.....	6
<b>2.0 EVALUATION PLANNING &amp; PROCESS.....</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Documentation Submitted by Operator .....	6
2.2 Audit Type and Determination.....	8
2.3 Audit Team .....	9
2.3.1 Determination of Audit Team .....	9
2.3.2 Audit Team .....	9
2.4 Evaluation Schedule and Extent of Audit.....	9
2.4.1 Determination of Extent of Audit .....	9
2.4.2 Evaluation Itinerary and Activities.....	10
2.5 Evaluation of Management System.....	10
2.5.1 Methodology and Strategies Employed.....	10
2.5.2 Capacity of the participating operator to implement its management systems .....	11
2.5.3 Evaluation of RSB compliance claims and use of RSB trademarks .....	11
2.6 Stakeholder Consultation Process (for Main audits) .....	11
2.6.1 Summary of Stakeholder Comments and Responses from the Team, (for Main audits) .....	11
<b>3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>4.0 RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION.....</b>	<b>12</b>
4.1 Process of Determining Compliance.....	12
4.1.1 Structure of Standard and Degrees of Non-Compliance .....	12
4.1.2 Interpretations of Major and Minor Non-compliances .....	12
4.1.3 Major Non-compliances.....	12
4.1.4 Non-compliances and Current Status .....	13
<b>5.0 CERTIFICATION DECISION .....</b>	<b>16</b>

Sub Certificate Codes (if applicable) ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

**SECTION B – APPENDICES (CONFIDENTIAL) ..... 17**

**Appendix 1 – Certification Standard Conformance Table ..... 17**

**Appendix 2 – Results of Evaluation of Operator Management System(s)..... 17**

**Appendix 3 – Details of Non-compliances ..... 18**

## SECTION A – PUBLIC SUMMARY

### 1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

#### 1.1 Operator Information

##### 1.1.1 Name and Contact Information

Organization name	Biomass Supplies (Pvt.) Limited		
Operator Number	1327		
Contact person	Piumi Lakchani Denagamage		
Address	8A, St. Peter's Place, Colombo 4 Sri Lanka	Telephone	+94 (0) 114 545 050 Mobile: +94 (0) 76 3599 242
		Fax	
		e-mail	piumi@biomass- group.com
		Website	www.biomass-group.com

#### 1.2 Scope of Certificate

Please select one:	<input type="checkbox"/> RSB EU RED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RSB Global
Please select boxes that apply:	<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Initial Assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Re-certification <input type="checkbox"/> Follow-Up to NCs	<input type="checkbox"/> 1st Annual Surveillance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2nd Annual Surveillance <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd Annual Surveillance <input type="checkbox"/> 4th Annual Surveillance
The scope assessment agrees with the scope under which the operator applied	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If no, please explain:		
<i>Note: If the scope is different, please contact SCS.</i>		

SITE INFORMATION FOR AGRICULTURAL SITES OR FEEDSTOCK PRODUCTION SITES		
Site Type	<input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture	<input type="checkbox"/> Forestry
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biomass Production	<input type="checkbox"/> Other:
Feedstock Produced:	Gliricidia sticks	
Current Land Use	Prior Land Use	

<input type="checkbox"/> Biomass Production	<input type="checkbox"/> Biomass Production			
<input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture	<input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other: not relevant, because only transport activities for biomass	<input type="checkbox"/> Other:			
<b>Current Employment on Site</b>	<b>Prior Employment on Site</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Negligible	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Negligible			
<input type="checkbox"/> Local Average	<input type="checkbox"/> Local Average			
<input type="checkbox"/> Above Local Average	<input type="checkbox"/> Above Local Average			
<input type="checkbox"/> Full	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Full			
<b>Total workers covered by scope of certification:</b>	Head office: 6, Field Officers: 13, Consultants: 3 Total number of employees: 22			
<b>Number of women workers</b>	3 women employees			
<b>Owned/Controlled By:</b>	Biomass Supplies			
<b>Location/City:</b>	Farmers are currently located in Polonnaruwa district, but the company intends to expand across Sri Lanka in future.			
<b>Total farms included in certification scope</b>	26 (but they produce residues; so not necessary to list)			
<b>Geographic location:</b>	Farm/Entity	Location (Lat. - Long.)	Area (ha)	Area Planted (ha)
<b>*NEW* Please request shapefiles of all farms</b>				
Were shapefiles of farms requested?				
Did Operator provide shapefiles of farms included in scope of certification?				
<b>Total Area (ha)</b>	Total area amounts to 1380.50 acres. Collection of sticks takes place in Polonnaruwa District of Sri Lanka.			
<b>Total Planted Area (ha)</b>	Total planted area amounts to 37.33 acres. Currently Polonnaruwa District: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Medirigiriya (9,000 potential farmers, 600 farmers registered for the programme (22 are active suppliers) covering the period of November 2015 to November 2017.</li> <li>•Dimbulagala (one plantation company with 1350 acres and three other active farmers), to the date of November 2017.</li> </ul>			

<b>Total area set aside for conservation purposes (ha)</b>	Company promotes Gliricidia as a triple-row live fence in home gardens which is an agro-forestry model. Thus no conservation areas needed /set aside.
<b>Annual Feedstock Production Volume (please specify unit of measurement)</b>	Not applicable to Biomass Supplies
<b>Amount sold as RSB certified in last calendar year (tons)</b>	97.4 metric tonnes (ninety-seven point four metric tonnes)

### 1.3 Standards Used

#### 1.3.1 Applicable RSB-Accredited Standards

Title	Version
RSB Chain of Custody (RSB-STD-20-001 V3.1)	3.1
RSB Standard for Participating Operators (RSB-STD-30-001 V3.1)	3.1
RSB Standard for the certification of biofuels and biomaterials based on end-of-life products, by-products and residues	1.7
RSB Risk Management (RSB-STD-60-001 V3.1)	3.1
RSB Procedure on Communications and Claims	3.2
All standards employed are available on the websites of the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials ( <a href="http://rsb.org/sustainability/rsb-sustainability-standards/">http://rsb.org/sustainability/rsb-sustainability-standards/</a> ). Standards are also available, upon request, from SCS Global Services ( <a href="http://www.scsglobalservices.com/">http://www.scsglobalservices.com/</a> ).	

## 2.0 EVALUATION PLANNING & PROCESS

### 2.1 Documentation Submitted by Operator

Internal documents reviewed:	Date of issue:
RSB Certification – Questionnaire for Self-Risk Assessment	No date
2.1_Biomass Procedure	No date
3.1_Farmer Training Itinerary	No date
3.2_Farmer Attendance Sheets	No date
3.2.1_2016.12.20-1	2016.12.20
3.2.2_2016.12.20-2	2016.12.20
3.2.3_2016.12.20-3	2016.12.20
3.2.4_2017.03.22- Rathmalyaya	2017.03.22
3.2.5_2017.03.22-Diyasenpura	2017.03.22
3.2.6_2017.03.23- Mahasenpura	2017.03.23
3.2.7_2017.03.23	2017.03.23
3.2.8_2017.03.24	2017.03.24
3.2.9_2017.05.29-1	2017.05.29

3.2.10_2017.05.29-2	2017.05.29
3.2.11_2017.05.29-3	2017.05.29
3.2.12_2017.06.16-1	2017.06.16
3.2.13_2017.06.16-2	2017.06.16
3.2.14_2017.06.16- 3	2017.06.16
3.2.15_2017.07.03-1	2017.07.03
3.2.16_2017.07.03-2	2017.07.03
3.3_Fied Officer RSB Training Itinerary	No date
3.4_Field Officer RSB Training Presentation	No date
4.1_SuggestionsComplaints Book	No date
4.1.1_Suggestions&Complaints Book	Different dates 2016-2017
4.1.2_Suggestions&Complaints Book	Different dates 2016-2017
4.1.3_Suggestions&Complaints Book	Different dates 2016-2017
4.1.4_Suggestions&Complaints Book	Different dates 2016-2017
4.1.5_Suggestions&Complaints Book	Different dates 2016-2017
4.1.6_Suggestions&Complaints Book	Different dates 2016-2017
4.1.7_Suggestions&Complaints Book	Different dates 2016-2017
4.2.1_Nilanthi Records	No date
4.2.2_Pradeeps Records	No date
4.2.3_Weerasinghas Records	No date
5.1_Brandix Farmer List	No date
5.2_Registration and Declaration Forms:	No date
5.2.1_0085-1	09.12.2016
5.2.2_0085-2	10.12.2016
5.2.3_0086-1	19.12.2016
5.2.4_0086-2	19.12.2016
5.2.5_0086-3	19.12.2016
5.2.6_0086-4	19.12.2016
5.2.7_0088-1	11.01.2017
5.2.8_0088-2	11.01.2017
5.2.9_0088-3	11.01.2017
5.2.10_0088-4	11.01.2017
5.2.11_0089-1	21.01.2017
5.2.12_0089-2	24.01.2017
5.2.13_0089-3	20.01.2017
5.2.14_0089-4	21.01.2017
5.2.15_0094-1	05.07.2017
5.2.16_0094-2	05.07.2017
5.2.17_0094-3	05.07.2017
5.2.18_0095-1	05.06.2017



5.2.19_0095-2	05.06.2017
5.2.20_0095-3	04.06.2017
5.2.21_0095-4	05.06.2017
5.2.22_0096-1	13.06.2017
5.2.23_0096-2	12.06.2017
5.2.24_0096-3	13.06.2017
5.2.25_0096-4	13.06.2017
6_Invoices	No date
6.1_Invoice 82	07.11.2016
6.1_Invoice 83	10.11.2016
6.1_Invoice 84	10.11.2016
6.1_Invoice 85	10.12.2016
6.1_Invoice 86	19.12.2016
6.1_Invoice 87	27.12.2016
6.1_Invoice 88	11.01.2017
6.1_Invoice 89	12.01.2017
6.1_Invoice 90	25.01.2017
6.1_Invoice 91	17.03.2017
6.1_Invoice 92	06.04.2017
6.1_Invoice 94	01.06.2017
6.1_Invoice 95	05.06.2017
6.1_Invoice 96	13.06.2017
7.1_GHG Calculation Raw Data	No date
7.2_RSB Tool GHG Calculation	No date
8.1_Coordinates of the current farmer base	No date
QA_9_11_updated	No date

## 2.2 Audit Type and Determination

The following table summarizes the audit types for RSB Audits:

	Low risk class	Medium risk class	High risk class
Certificate validity	5 years	3 years	2 year
Main audit	Every 5 years	Every 3 years	Every 2 year
Surveillance audit	Annual	Annual	Annual

## 2.3 Audit Team

### 2.3.1 Determination of Audit Team

- Desk audits shall always be conducted by at minimum one (1) international lead auditor. The lead auditor appointed may include additional auditors and/or technical experts in the audit team if this is required by the extent of the audit.
- Field audits shall always be led by one (1) international lead auditor.
- The lead auditor appointed shall include at minimum one (1) local auditor in the audit team. The lead auditor appointed may include additional auditors and/or technical experts in the audit team if this is required by the extent of the audit.
- For field audits of participating operators in High Risk class shall always the lead auditor appointed shall appoint at minimum
  - one (1) local auditor and
  - one (1) technical expert on social issues to evaluate compliance with social requirements and the risk of non-compliance due to social issues and
  - one (1) technical expert on environmental issues to evaluate compliance with environmental requirements and the risk of non-compliance due to environmental issues.
  - The lead auditor appointed may include additional auditors and/or technical experts in the audit team if this is required by the extent of the audit.

### 2.3.2 Audit Team

<b>Auditor Name:</b>	Dr.-Ing. Karolina Kapsa	<b>Auditor role:</b>	Lead auditor
<p><b>Qualifications:</b> Dr.-Ing. Karolina Julia Kapsa is a lead auditor for ISCC, REDcert, RSB, ISO 14001 / 50001 and waste management. She did her Master’s degree in Economics in 2003 and obtained PhD degree in Environmental Engineering from the Technical University of Berlin in 2010. Currently she works as an Auditor for different Certification Bodies.</p> <p>Dr.-Ing. Karolina Kapsa has extensive project management/Research/Consulting experience in energy &amp; waste management and sustainable biomass certification with various companies worldwide.</p>			

## 2.4 Evaluation Schedule and Extent of Audit

### 2.4.1 Determination of Extent of Audit

Total number of subsidiaries, branch offices, affiliated entities, external third parties contracted or otherwise engaged, operational structures, sites, facilities, processing and production units, and supply chain structures	Main office in Colombo, no production sites, only collection and transport of Gliricidia sticks
Participating Operator Risk Class	Risk Assessment, score 4 → low risk
Disputes or prior Non-compliances	none

Changes in scope since last evaluation	No changes
Total number of compliance claims	None

## 2.4.2 Evaluation Itinerary and Activities

<b>Date: 12/5/17</b>	
<b>Operation(s)/ sites visited</b>	<b>Activities/ notes</b>
Remote Audit	Requesting document from certified unit Document Review – delivered documents (see report) Documents to be delivered: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Collector List</li> <li>– Farmer List and Farmer Registry – Brandix Suppliers</li> <li>– Database of farmers in Google Earth incl. maps and coordinates</li> <li>– GHG Calculation Data</li> <li>– Pre-assessment on the Social Impact of Biomass Supplies, Final Report</li> <li>– Summary of the documents required Surveillance Audit 2017</li> <li>– Biomass Supplies Organisation Chart incl. responsibilities</li> <li>– Biomass Extended Supply Chain Flow Chart for 2017</li> <li>– Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP)</li> <li>– Stakeholder Management Plan</li> </ul>
<b>Date: 12/6/17</b>	
<b>Operation(s)/ sites visited</b>	<b>Activities/ notes</b>
	<b>Report Writing</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Auditor(s) take time to consolidate notes and confirm audit findings</li> <li>– Report writing</li> </ul> Report submission dependent on closing of findings

## 2.5 Evaluation of Management System

### 2.5.1 Methodology and Strategies Employed

SCS deploys interdisciplinary teams with expertise in agriculture, ecology, forestry, social sciences, natural resource economics, and other relevant fields to assess an Operator’s compliance to RSB standards and policies. Evaluation methods include document and record review, implementing sampling strategies to visit a broad number of site and facility types, observation of implementation of management plans and policies, and stakeholder analysis. When there is more than one team member, team members may review parts of the standards based on their background and expertise. On the final day of an evaluation, team members convene to deliberate the findings of the assessment jointly. This involves an analysis of all relevant site observations, stakeholder comments, and reviewed documents and records. Where consensus between team members cannot be achieved due to lack of

evidence, conflicting evidence or differences of interpretation of the standards, the team is instructed to report these in the certification decision section.

## 2.5.2 Capacity of the participating operator to implement its management systems

*Note: include an overall evaluation of the participating operator’s responsiveness and ability to consistently and effectively implement its management system based on the financial, technical, and human resources available.*

Information provided in Appendix 2

## 2.5.3 Evaluation of RSB compliance claims and use of RSB trademarks

Type (compliance claim, trademark use)	Description	Findings
Compliance claim	None	None
Trademark use	RSB trade mark is being used on invoices to clients (Brandix Apparel (Pvt) Ltd)	An effective way of communication to end customer

## 2.6 Stakeholder Consultation Process (for Main audits)

In accordance with SCS and RSB protocols, consultation with key stakeholders is an integral component of the evaluation process. Stakeholder consultation takes place prior to, concurrent with, and following field evaluations. The primary purpose of such consultation is to solicit input from affected parties as to the strengths and weaknesses of the Participating Operator’s management system and operations, relative to the standard, and the nature of the interaction between the company and the surrounding communities.

Principal stakeholder groups are identified based upon the certification scope of the participating operator.

Stakeholder consultation activities are organized according to the requirements of the RSB. The table below summarizes the major comments received from stakeholders and the assessment team’s response. Where a stakeholder comment has triggered a subsequent investigation during the evaluation, the corresponding follow-up action and conclusions from SCS are noted below.

### 2.6.1 Summary of Stakeholder Comments and Responses from the Team, (for Main audits)

Stakeholder Consultation not conducted in surveillance audits

### 3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Based on the most recent self-risk assessment (no older than 3 months from the audit date) the PO's risk assessment results are:	Corresponding risk class (low, medium, high):
4	Low

### 4.0 RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION

#### 4.1 Process of Determining Compliance

##### 4.1.1 Structure of Standard and Degrees of Non-Compliance

RSB-accredited biofuel standards consist of a three-level hierarchy: the principle, the criteria that correspond to that principle, and then the performance indicators that elaborate upon each criterion. Consistent with SCS Sustainable Biofuels Program evaluation protocols, the team collectively determines whether or not the subject operation is in compliance with every applicable indicator of the relevant sustainable biofuel standard. Each non-compliance must be evaluated to determine whether it constitutes a major or minor non-compliance at the level of the associated criterion or sub-criterion. Not all indicators are equally important, and there is no simple numerical formula to determine whether an operation is in non-compliance. The team therefore must use their collective judgment to assess each criterion and determine if the Operator is in compliance. If the Operator is determined to be in non-compliance at the criterion level, then at least one of the applicable indicators must be in major non-compliance.

##### 4.1.2 Interpretations of Major and Minor Non-compliances

*Major Non-compliances*, either alone or in combination with non-compliances of other applicable indicators, result (or are likely to result) in a fundamental failure to achieve the objectives of the relevant RSB Criterion. These non-compliances must be resolved or closed out before a certificate can be awarded. If Major NCs arise after an operation is certified, the timeframe for correcting these non-compliances is typically no more than three months. Certification is contingent on the operator's response to the NCs within the stipulated time frame.

*Minor Non-compliances* are typically limited in scale or can be characterized as an unusual lapse in the system. Most minor NCs are the result of a non-conformance at the indicator-level. Non-compliances must be closed out within a specified time period of award of the certificate.

##### 4.1.3 Major Non-compliances

<input type="checkbox"/>	No major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation. Any minor CARs from previous surveillance audits have been reviewed and closed prior to the issuance of a certificate.
--------------------------	--

<input type="checkbox"/>	Major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation, which have all been closed to the satisfaction of the audit team and meet the requirements of the standards. Any minor CARs from previous surveillance audits have been reviewed and closed prior to the issuance of a certificate.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation and the Operator has not yet satisfactorily closed all major NCs.

#### 4.1.4 Non-compliances and Current Status

Instructions:

- Initial certification audit: list all Non-conformities and Opportunities for Improvement issued during this audit.
- Surveillance/Recertification: list status of minor NCs that were not closed in previous audit, then list new NCs/OFIs issued during this audit.


Summary of Non-compliances and Current Status				
Non-compliance Number	Type of Non-compliance	Relevant RSB Standard & Indicator No.	Summary of Finding and Evidence Collected	Status of Non-compliance (Open/Closed)
3 (2015)	OFI	Principles and Criteria Criterion 2b	<p>Training material should be available during audit to verify the contents. There is also a need for internal auditors to manage the whole process of effective introduction of RSB requirements at Biomass Group.</p> <p>2017 Update: Evidence submitted regarding training materials: 3.1_RSB Training Itinerary 3.2_RSB Training Presentation</p>	Closed in 2017 (2 <sup>nd</sup> surveillance audit)
4 (2015)	OFI	Principles and Criteria Criterion 2b	<p>After each training / consulting of stakeholders the lists of participants, programs and issues raised should be recorded for a better management of stakeholders.</p> <p>2017 Update: Records of training were provided: Evidence: 2.2_Aug 2016 – AttendanceQs Sheet 2.3_Jan 2015 – AttendanceQs Sheet 2.4_May 2014 – AttendanceQs Sheet 2.5_May 2016 – AttendanceQs Sheet 2.6_Nov 2014 – AttendanceQs Sheet 2.7_Sept 2015 – AttendanceQs Sheet</p>	Closed in 2017 (2 <sup>nd</sup> surveillance audit)
5 (2015)	OFI	Principles and Criteria 2c	Although not stated as a business plan as such, the project of Biomass Group indicates a long-term	Open To be checked

			<p>economic viability. During next audit, an economic progress of the project will be verified on site.</p> <p>Status in 2017: Biomass Suppliers is planning to expand the scope of the existing operation. They are currently in discussions with RSB on how to expand the scope/apply for a new project. Tentative project plan and a time plan are being developed.</p>	at next on-site audit (2020).
11 (2015)	OFI	Principles and Criteria 5a	<p>During interviews with farmers the issue of mechanization was raised by almost all the farmers. Currently very simple and time-consuming tools such as saws, knives or axes are in use. Once the project develops due to the planned pellet plant and expressed interest of other farmers to join the program, the issue of mechanization will become problematic. The PO should reflect this at the current stage of development and respond to the arising needs.</p> <p>Status in 2017: Biomass Suppliers are planning to expand the scope. The expansion is expected to be involved with the mechanical harvesting of the Gliricidia sticks, thus the proper ESMP plan will be introduced.</p> <p>Furthermore, the new expansion includes certain livelihood developments of the farmers by introducing new mechanisms.</p>	Open To be checked at next on-site audit (2020).
24 (2015)	OFI	Principles and Criteria 11a	<p>For future project needs, PO should consider changes in use of technology in the project area, if relevant.</p> <p>Status in 2017: Biomass Suppliers, a new expansion plan will identify all possible environmental and social risks, and Biomass will develop a new EMSP as per the new scope.</p>	Open To be checked at next on-site audit (2020).
25 (2015)	OFI	Principles and Criteria 11d	<p>For future project needs, PO should consider changes in use &amp; disposal of chemicals in the project area, if relevant.</p> <p>Status in 2017: New expansion plan will include how to implement good practices for storage, handling, use, and disposal of by-products and chemicals.</p>	Open To be checked at next on-site audit (2020).
1 (2017)	Minor	PO Checklist 1.9	<p>Self-evaluation is separate than a self-risk assessment. A self-evaluation is an internal audit conducted against the RSB standards. This was not evidenced during the audit.</p>	Closed

2 (2017)	Minor	PO Checklist 3.1	<p>A risk management system is separate from the self-risk assessment. It was not evidenced that the company engages in the activities listed in the RSB risk management standard.</p> <p>As stated in the standard for Risk Management, the PO is supposed to carry out a comprehensive Risk Management, including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. 1. 1. Risk Identification (See Section F.2)</li> <li>1. 1. 2. Risk Assessment (See Section F.3)</li> <li>1. 1. 3. Risk Mitigation (See Section F.4)</li> <li>1. 1. 4. Risk Monitoring (See Section F.5)</li> </ol> <p>At the moment only Risk Assessment is done.</p>	Closed
3 (2017)	Major	RSB-STD-11-001-20-001 2a PO Checklist 6.3.2	<p>The following information is not stated on the outgoing sales documents:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Country of origin,</li> <li>- valid RSB Certificate number, Certification Body and Chain of custody model employed</li> <li>- RSB Short claim (see RSB-PRO-50-001)</li> <li>- Greenhouse Gas Intensity</li> <li>- Statement whether the GHG value contains transport emissions. If not, transport type and transport distance (in km)</li> </ul>	Closed
4 (2017)	Major	RSB-STD-11-001-20-001 2a PO Checklist 8.3.2, 8.3.3, 8.3.6, 8.3.8, 11.2	<p>The following information could be clearly identified on the Mass Balance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Record that RSB certified material is certified material separately.</li> <li>-Record of the compliance claims associated with each batch of RSB, certified material separately</li> <li>- Regular (e.g. monthly) reconciliation of the RSB certified inputs and outputs</li> <li>-Whether operator applies a continuous accounting to ensure that deficits of RSB certified material do not occur.</li> </ul>	Closed
5 (2017)	Minor	RSB-STD-01-001 3b PO Checklist 9.2.2	<p>Only calculation of real emissions from transport is in place as residue material is handled. It is not clear whether for calculation of GHG emissions from transport, the use of standard fuel usage from RSB tool for trucks transports was applied.</p>	Closed



## 5.0 CERTIFICATION DECISION

Certification Recommendation		
<b>For Surveillance Audits: Operator is to continue as an RSB certified Participating Operator subject to the minor non-compliances stated in Section 4.2.5.</b>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
The SCS evaluation team makes the above recommendation for certification based on the full and proper execution of the SCS Responsible Biofuels Program evaluation protocols. If certification is recommended, the Operator has satisfactorily demonstrated the following without exception:		
Operator has addressed any Major NC(s) assigned during the evaluation.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Operator has demonstrated that their system of management is capable of ensuring that all of the requirements of the applicable standards are met over the sites and facilities covered by the scope of the evaluation.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Operator has demonstrated that the described system of management is being implemented consistently over the sites and facilities covered by the scope of the certificate.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>	
Comments and/or details of any issue which was difficult and/or impossible to evaluate:	<p>Biomass Supplies focus is now on the development of a state of the art farmer signup and supply chain platform 'PLanT' (Produce Logistics and Traceability) to track every farmer, monitor fuelwood inventory, monitor crop capacity for other/food produce, document impact on livelihoods and other environmental and social benefits.</p> <p>This system should be carefully evaluated during the next surveillance audit.</p>	
<b>To be completed by Certification Decision-Making Entity</b>	<b>Technical Review by:</b> If different to decision-maker	Inna Kitaychik, Matthew Rudolf
	<b>Certification decision:</b>	Approved to continue certification
	<b>Certification decision by:</b>	 Matthew Rudolf
	<b>Date of decision:</b> For initial or continued certification	2 April 2018
	<b>Surveillance schedule:</b>	Next surveillance audit to take place in October 2018.
	Notes:	