

# Certification Evaluation Report

*Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials*

*ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Ltd.*

## **SCS Certificate Code-SCS-RSB/PC-0028**

22 Chun Wang Street, Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate, Hong Kong, China  
Thomas Wu  
www.asb-biodiesel.com

CERTIFIED	EXPIRATION
March 29, 2017	March 28, 2019

DATE(S) OF AUDIT
October 12-13, 2017
DATE OF LAST UPDATE
February 17, 2018

SCS Contact:

**Matthew Rudolf** | Manager, Biofuels  
+1.919.533.4886 (direct) [mrudolf@scsglobalservices.com](mailto:mrudolf@scsglobalservices.com)

**SCS**global  
SERVICES  
Setting the standard for sustainability™

## FOREWORD

---

SCS Global Services (SCS) is a certification body accredited by the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials (RSB) to conduct evaluations of biofuel operators. Under the RSB/SCS certification system, participating operators meeting international standards of biofuel production can be certified as “sustainable,” thereby permitting the Operator’s use of the RSB endorsement and logo in the marketplace subject to regular RSB/SCS oversight.

SCS deploys interdisciplinary teams of natural resource specialists and other experts all over the world to conduct evaluations of biofuel operations. SCS evaluation teams collect and analyze written materials, conduct interviews with Participating Operator’s staff and key stakeholders, and complete field and office audits of the operation(s) identified in the certification scope. Upon completion of the fact-finding phase of all evaluations, SCS teams determine compliance to the RSB Principles and Criteria.

Please Note: An RSB certificate itself does not constitute evidence that a particular product supplied by the certificate holder is certified to RSB standards. Products offered, shipped or sold by the certificate holder can only be considered covered by the scope of this certificate when the required RSB claim is clearly stated on-product. For more information about the RSB, visit their website at [www.rsb.org](http://www.rsb.org).

### **Organization of the Report**

This report of the results of our evaluation is divided into two sections. Section A provides the public summary and background information that is required by the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials. This section is made available to the general public and is intended to provide an overview of the evaluation process, the management programs, and policies applied to the Participating Operator, and the results of the evaluation. Section A will be posted on the RSB Participating Operators Database (<http://rsb.org/certification/participating-operators/>). Section B contains more detailed results and information for use by the Participating Operator.

## CONTENTS

---

2.2	Audit Type and Determination.....	6
2.3	Audit Team.....	7
2.3.1	Determination of Audit Team.....	7
2.3.2	Audit Team.....	7
2.4	Evaluation Schedule and Extent of Audit.....	7
2.4.1	Determination of Extent of Audit.....	7
2.4.2	Evaluation Itinerary and Activities.....	8
2.5	Evaluation of Management System.....	8
2.5.1	Methodology and Strategies Employed.....	8
2.5.2	Capacity of the participating operator to implement its management systems.....	9
2.5.3	Evaluation of RSB compliance claims and use of RSB trademarks.....	9
2.6	Stakeholder Consultation Process.....	9
<b>3.0</b>	<b>RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS.....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>4.0</b>	<b>RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION.....</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1	Process of Determining Compliance.....	10
4.1.1	Structure of Standard and Degrees of Non-Compliance.....	10
4.1.2	Interpretations of Major and Minor Non-compliances.....	10
4.1.3	Major Non-compliances.....	10
4.1.4	Non-compliances and Current Status.....	11
<b>5.0</b>	<b>CERTIFICATION DECISION.....</b>	<b>14</b>
	Sub Certificate Codes (if applicable).....	15
	<b>SECTION B – APPENDICES (CONFIDENTIAL).....</b>	<b>16</b>
	<b>Appendix 1 – Certification Standard Conformance Table.....</b>	<b>16</b>
	<b>Appendix 2 – Results of Evaluation of Operator Management System(s).....</b>	<b>16</b>
	<b>Appendix 3 – Details of Non-compliances.....</b>	<b>17</b>

## SECTION A – PUBLIC SUMMARY

### 1.0 GENERAL INFORMATION

#### 1.1 Operator Information

##### 1.1.1 Name and Contact Information

Organization name	ASB Biodiesel (Hong Kong) Ltd		
Operator Number	2094		
Contact person	Thomas Wu, Acting CEO		
Address	22 Chun Wang Street Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate New Territories, Hong Kong	Telephone	852-3183 4300
		Fax	
		e-mail	thomas.wu@asb-biodiesel.com
		Website	http://www.asb-biodiesel.com/

##### 1.1.2 Additional Parties Involved (Can be moved to appendix if certain information is confidential)

Organization name	ASB Chun Yip		
Contact person	MR. Ng		
Address	22 Chun Wang Street Tseung Kwan O Industrial Estate New Territories, Hong Kong	Telephone	+852 37411640
		Fax	+852 3741 1661
		e-mail	
		Website	
Nature of Involvement:			

#### 1.2 Scope of Certificate

Please select one:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>RSB EU RED</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>RSB Global</b>
--------------------	---	--

Please select boxes that apply:	<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Initial Assessment <input type="checkbox"/> Re-certification <input type="checkbox"/> Follow-Up to NCs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>1st Annual Surveillance*</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 2nd Annual Surveillance <input type="checkbox"/> 3rd Annual Surveillance <input type="checkbox"/> 4th Annual Surveillance *NOTE: 6 months surveillance due to high risk classification of PO.
The scope assessment agrees with the scope under which the operator applied	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If no, please explain:	Some feedstock (Grease Trap Waste) has intermediate steps before final conversion. The assessment of requirements for the additional process steps can be covered under the same audit (same site).	
<i>Note: If the scope is different, please contact SCS.</i>		

INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	
Name	ASB Biodiesel
Type	<input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture Milling and/or Fermentation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biofuel Production and/or Distribution <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other, please explain here: treatment plant of waste/residues <input type="checkbox"/> Vegetable oil Extraction <input type="checkbox"/> Storage or Distribution
Location/City	Hong Kong
Geographic location ( <i>Latitude &amp; Longitude</i> )	22.284530, 114.268950
Start date of operations (initial start date)	Before 10.2015.
Number of processing steps	5 steps (of which 2 side production lines) (fatty matter recovery, esterification, transesterification and distillation, glycerine)
<b>Annual throughput of previous 12 months</b> ( <i>Can be moved to appendix if certain information is confidential</i> )	
Feedstock Input (Metric Ton)	Used cooking oil (12,360 MT) - Grease Trap oil (5387 MT) Palm oil Mill Effluent (737 MT)
Final/Primary Product Output (Metric Ton)	Biodiesel (17532 MT)

Intermediate/by-product Output (Metric Ton)	Crude Glycerine (1473 MT) Bioheating oil (1886 MT)
% output yield compared to input material (total output/total input)	GTW → GTO: 24% GTO → Biodiesel: 84% POME (IND) → Biodiesel: 67% POME (MAL) → Biodiesel: 94% UCO (CHI) → Biodiesel: 89% UCO (HKG) → Biodiesel: 99% UCO (TWN) → Biodiesel: 62%
Amount sold as RSB certified (tons)	4670 tons (till 30 september 2017)
<b>Description of Production/Processing Activities:</b>	
<p>RSB scope of the audit: UCO, GTW, POME (only to cover the last consignment under previous contracts). The multi-feedstock blend consists of waste cooking oil (WCO/UCO), animal fat, "Industrial oil" (animal fat+UCO) and grease recovered from grease trap waste (GTW). Palm oil mill effluent (POME) will not be used in the future and the company has received the last consignments covered under signed contracts (still to be processed). In the previous months, it was received from ISCC suppliers and tracked accordingly in the mass-balance.</p>	
<p><b>For Biofuels Producers: Please state the GHG emissions occurring at the operator's sites in kg CO2eq/ dry-ton for raw materials and intermediary products and g CO2eq/MJ for final biofuels (annualized, after allocation) and if applicable, achieved emission savings, and if applicable, explanation for deviation from typical GHG values</b></p>	
<b>Raw Material:</b>	<b>GHG Total Default Value</b>
<b>Final Biofuel</b>	<b>GHG Total Default Value</b>

### 1.3 Standards Used

#### 1.3.1 Applicable RSB-Accredited Standards

Title	Version	Date of Finalization
RSB Principles & Criteria RSB-STD-01-001	3.0	November 2016
RSB Chain of Custody RSB-STD-11-001-20-001	3.5	August 2016
RSB Standard for Participating Operators RSB-STD- 11-001-30-001	3.2	June 2016

RSB Risk Management RSB-STD-11-001-60-001	3.2	August 2016
RSB Procedure on Communication and Claims RSB-PRO-11-001-50-001	3.2	March 2017
RSB Standard for EU Market Access RSB-STD-11-001	3.1	March 2017
RSB Waste and Residues RSB-STD-11-001-01-010	1.1	March 2017
All standards employed are available on the websites of the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials ( <a href="http://rsb.org/sustainability/rsb-sustainability-standards/">http://rsb.org/sustainability/rsb-sustainability-standards/</a> ). Standards are also available, upon request, from SCS Global Services ( <a href="http://www.scsglobalservices.com/">http://www.scsglobalservices.com/</a> ).		

## 2.0 EVALUATION PLANNING & PROCESS

### 2.1 Documentation Submitted by Operator

Air and water licenses	Mass Balance Q3 Rev 3
	Mass Balance included in the RSB Manual
RSB Manual Rev 3	RSB Training Certificates
RSB Chain of Custody Procedure rev01 – included in the RSB Manual	12-02-22 Emissions Template –expired
Emission Inventory –expired	ASB Business Registration
DG License Manufacturing Dangerous Goods 2016	DG License Storage of Dangerous Goods 2016
EPD Permit	Water Assessment Report
Communications & Claims ASB Biodiesel	Supplier Agreements (various)
Self-Risk Assessment	RSB Screening Tool
Training Plan 2017	RSB POS template
RSB Manual ver 1 dated 23.09.2017	Organisation chart and responsibilities ASB Biodiesel 2017

### 2.2 Audit Type and Determination

The following table summarizes the audit types for RSB Audits:

	Low risk class	Medium risk class	High risk class
Certificate validity	5 years	3 years	2 year
Main audit	Every 5 years	Every 3 years	Every 2 year
Surveillance audit	Annual	Annual	Annual

## 2.3 Audit Team

### 2.3.1 Determination of Audit Team

- Desk audits shall always be conducted by at minimum one (1) international lead auditor. The lead auditor appointed may include additional auditors and/or technical experts in the audit team if this is required by the extent of the audit.
- Field audits shall always be led by one (1) international lead auditor.
- The lead auditor appointed shall include at minimum one (1) local auditor in the audit team. The lead auditor appointed may include additional auditors and/or technical experts in the audit team if this is required by the extent of the audit.
- For field audits of participating operators in High Risk class shall always the lead auditor appointed shall appoint at minimum
  - one (1) local auditor and
  - one (1) technical expert on social issues to evaluate compliance with social requirements and the risk of non-compliance due to social issues and
  - one (1) technical expert on environmental issues to evaluate compliance with environmental requirements and the risk of non-compliance due to environmental issues.
  - The lead auditor appointed may include additional auditors and/or technical experts in the audit team if this is required by the extent of the audit.

### 2.3.2 Audit Team

<b>Auditor Name:</b>	Marinka Vignali	<b>Auditor role:</b>	Lead Auditor
<b>Qualifications:</b> Marinka is a certified Auditor against 2 EU approved voluntary schemes (RSB and ISCC EU) and 2 RED national schemes (Italian national scheme and ISCC DE) with many years of experience in biofuels sector. Previously she has worked at European Commission for 9 years, at DG JRC -Renewable Energy Unit. She has received a Master in Chemical Engineering at Università degli Studi di Pisa (Pisa, Italy) and a PhD in Chemistry at University of Limerick (Limerick, Ireland).			

## 2.4 Evaluation Schedule and Extent of Audit

### 2.4.1 Determination of Extent of Audit

Total number of subsidiaries, branch offices, affiliated entities, external third parties contracted or otherwise engaged, operational structures, sites, facilities, processing and production units, and supply chain structures	1 factory together with the head office 1 UCO collector
--	--



Participating Operator Risk Class	Surveillance – high risk, due to suspension history
Disputes or prior Non-compliances	ISCC certificate withdrawn for major NCs
Changes in scope since last evaluation	Added intermediate steps before final conversion to biodiesel
Total number of compliance claims	4670 tons sold out with RSB trademark.

## 2.4.2 Evaluation Itinerary and Activities

Date: 12.11.2017	
Operation(s)/ sites visited	Activities/ notes
ASB Biodiesel	Opening meeting
	Site walk through, mass balance review, production report and stock report review
	Closing meeting of the day
Date: 13.11.2017	
Operation(s)/ sites visited	Activities/ notes
ASB Chun Yip	Opening meeting
	Site walk through, layout, loading/discharging UCO.
	Closing meeting of the day

## 2.5 Evaluation of Management System

### 2.5.1 Methodology and Strategies Employed

SCS deploys interdisciplinary teams with expertise in agriculture, ecology, forestry, social sciences, natural resource economics, and other relevant fields to assess an Operator’s compliance to RSB standards and policies. Evaluation methods include document and record review, implementing sampling strategies to visit a broad number of site and facility types, observation of implementation of management plans and policies, and stakeholder analysis. When there is more than one team member, team members may review parts of the standards based on their background and expertise. On the final day of an evaluation, team members convene to deliberate the findings of the assessment jointly. This involves an analysis of all relevant site observations, stakeholder comments, and reviewed documents and records. Where consensus between team members cannot be achieved due to lack of evidence, conflicting evidence or differences of interpretation of the standards, the team is instructed to report these in the certification decision section.

## 2.5.2 Capacity of the participating operator to implement its management systems

*Note: include an overall evaluation of the participating operator’s responsiveness and ability to consistently and effectively implement its management system based on the financial, technical, and human resources available.*

Include overall evaluation of management system implementation here or fill in the table in Appendix 2 (the details of the observations and substantiating evidence collected during evaluation of the central management office and management systems of the participating operator)

## 2.5.3 Evaluation of RSB compliance claims and use of RSB trademarks

Type (compliance claim, trademark use)	Description	Findings
Compliance claim	Random check of outgoing declaration of conformity	The content of the format is compliant to the RSB standard. However even from ISCC EU suppliers the RSB compliant product is used instead of the generic compliance (NOTE: not specified during audit, but in the closing meeting with accreditation body)
Compliance claim	Random check of outgoing declaration of conformity	Product mix is not specified

## 2.6 Stakeholder Consultation Process

In accordance with SCS and RSB protocols, consultation with key stakeholders is an integral component of the evaluation process. Stakeholder consultation takes place prior to, concurrent with, and following field evaluations. The primary purpose of such consultation is to solicit input from affected parties as to the strengths and weaknesses of the Participating Operator’s management system and operations, relative to the standard, and the nature of the interaction between the company and the surrounding communities.

Principal stakeholder groups are identified based upon the certification scope of the participating operator.

Stakeholder consultation activities are organized according to the requirements of the RSB. The table below summarizes the major comments received from stakeholders and the assessment team’s response. Where a stakeholder comment has triggered a subsequent investigation during the evaluation, the corresponding follow-up action and conclusions from SCS are noted below.

### 3.0 RISK ASSESSMENT RESULTS

SCS Risk Assessment Results	Deviations from Operator Risk Assessment Results	Risk Factor Difference
High	Same as operator self-assessment	Same

### 4.0 RESULTS OF THE EVALUATION

#### 4.1 Process of Determining Compliance

##### 4.1.1 Structure of Standard and Degrees of Non-Compliance

RSB-accredited biofuel standards consist of a three-level hierarchy: the principle, the criteria that correspond to that principle, and then the performance indicators that elaborate upon each criterion. Consistent with SCS Sustainable Biofuels Program evaluation protocols, the team collectively determines whether or not the subject operation is in compliance with every applicable indicator of the relevant sustainable biofuel standard. Each non-compliance must be evaluated to determine whether it constitutes a major or minor non-compliance at the level of the associated criterion or sub-criterion. Not all indicators are equally important, and there is no simple numerical formula to determine whether an operation is in non-compliance. The team therefore must use their collective judgment to assess each criterion and determine if the Operator is in compliance. If the Operator is determined to be in non-compliance at the criterion level, then at least one of the applicable indicators must be in major non-compliance.

##### 4.1.2 Interpretations of Major and Minor Non-compliances

*Major Non-compliances*, either alone or in combination with non-compliances of other applicable indicators, result (or are likely to result) in a fundamental failure to achieve the objectives of the relevant RSB Criterion. These non-compliances must be resolved or closed out before a certificate can be awarded. If Major NCs arise after an operation is certified, the timeframe for correcting these non-compliances is typically no more than three months. Certification is contingent on the certified FME’s response to the NCs within the stipulated time frame.

*Minor Non-compliances* are typically limited in scale or can be characterized as an unusual lapse in the system. Most minor NCs are the result of a non-conformance at the indicator-level. Non-compliances must be closed out within a specified time period of award of the certificate.

##### 4.1.3 Major Non-compliances

<input type="checkbox"/>	No major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation. Any minor CARs from previous surveillance audits have been reviewed and closed prior to the issuance of a certificate.
--------------------------	--

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation, which have all been closed to the satisfaction of the audit team and meet the requirements of the standards. Any minor CARs from previous surveillance audits have been reviewed and closed prior to the issuance of a certificate.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major NCs were issued to the Operator during the evaluation and the Operator has not yet satisfactorily closed all major NCs.

#### 4.1.4 Non-compliances and Current Status

Instructions:

- Initial certification audit: list all Non-conformities and Opportunities for Improvement issued during this audit.
- Surveillance/Recertification: list status of minor NCs that were not closed in previous audit, then list new NCs/OFIs issued during this audit.

Summary of Non-compliances and Current Status				
#	Type	Relevant RSB Standard & Indicator No.	Summary of Finding and Evidence Collected	Status of Non-compliance (Open/Closed)
1	Major (Minor previously)	RSB-STD-11-001-20-001-vers.3.4 Criterion 1.13	That ASB shall notify their Certification Body and the System Operating Entity (SOE) about any modification in the chain of custody system is not included in the COC procedure.	Closed.  Procedure identified on Page 8 of RSB Manual.
2	Major	RSB-STD-11-001-30-001 PO Checklist 1.7	Fatty matter recovery from GTW waste was missing from the certification scope.	Closed.  Inputs listed under Feedstcok types now inlcudes Fatty matter recovery. Noted that there are two types of GTW: GTW-HKG and GTW-SITA with conversion rates of 6% and 20% respectively.
3	Major	RSB-STD-11-001-01-010 RSB EU RED Standard on waste and residues  RSB-STD-11-001-30-001 PO Checklist 1.9, 13.5, 13.6, 13.7, 13.8, 13.9	GTW Suppliers GTW is not currently in scope. The Company is introducing GTW (grease trap waste) batches with ISCC EU self-declaration, but without any evidence of compliance with RSB standard. a) No evidence of RSB in contracts with GTW providers (no mention of RSB in invoices or waste transfer notes either). b) No evidence that GTW providers are contractually obliged to provide the	Closed.  - "Stock Movement excel spreadsheet was submitted which removed GTW amount of 40 tons from the mass balance; it is accepted that the company removed 40 MT of material into from certified inventory.


			<p>information in 13.7 and 13.8.</p> <p>c) Information listed in 13.8 not provided for GTW suppliers</p> <p>d) Mass balance, information are not provided and kept for GTW suppliers. GTW suppliers used towards RSB balance but are not currently included in scope.</p> <p>e) Moreover, proper check of amount provided per month is not under control to verify that the provided amount is reasonable. It is not evident if the companies are collectors of waste waters or producers of waste waters. A proper management of such suppliers must be in place to guarantee that the supply chain from waste/residues is under control from the generation of the residue onwards. 363 tons were sold up to now under RSB scheme.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- List GTW suppliers provided, with all information, reviewed 1/24/18</li> <li>- Should be noted that SCS will audit a random sample (sq root) of suppliers</li> <li>- Proper management of suppliers is now covered in the manual</li> </ul>
4	Major (Major downgraded to Minor previously)	RSB-STD-11-001-30-001 PO Checklist 2.1, 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.3	Training requirements have not been met for staff to implement the RSB standards and procedures; employees are not appropriately trained. The management representative does not have sufficient knowledge and therefore cannot fully implement the risk management system as it pertains to RSB.	Closed. Evidence of training conducted by RSB submitted and accepted.
5	Major	RSB-STD-11-001-30-001 PO Checklist 4.1, 4.2	Grievance procedure and records of disputes and complaints were not provided	Closed. Grievance Procedure included in RSB Manual.
6	Major	RSB-STD-11-001-20-001 PO Checklist 5.1, 5.3, 6.2.3	The operating procedures do not include how to manage third parties involved in the scope of certificate. Further the GTW points of origin are not documented.	Closed. Appropriate operating procedures added to ASB RSB Manual, ver 3 dated 18.12.2017 in the 'Work flow of GTW' section. Supplier agreements provided and reviewed.
7	Major	PO Checklist 6.4, 8.3.6, 8.3.7, 8.3.9	<p>MASS BALANCE</p> <p>The Mass Balance of the UCO collector:</p> <p>a) Sufficient details are not provided for every incoming batch. What's missing: Information regarding incoming material (date, total amount, Point of Origin,</p>	<p>Closed.</p> <p>Evidence submitted of mass balance accounting, demonstrates a quarterly accounting period correctly observed.</p>

			<p>corresponding collection record (for reference) such as weighbridge ticket, Sustainability designation (RSB/ EU/ Uncertified)</p> <p>b) At least one month (August) appears to show very high incoming volumes. Justification provided was insufficient. The difference is considerably high for UCO producer (VW0042-WING FUNG (40632 kg)). This amount cannot be accepted into the ASB mass balance as it was not accompanied by RSB documentation.</p> <p>c) Registration of the stock level at beginning and end of the quarter period has not been done. Therefore for the stock amount the value available from physical check (28.09 for T15 and T16 and 29.09 for T17 and T18) have been integrated with flowmeter measurements. Considering the capacity of the plant, this could lead to significant mistakes in claiming credits at end of period.</p> <p>d) Mass balance is not kept material specific</p>	Record of physical stock checking provided
8	Major	RSB-STD-11-001-20-001 PO Checklist 6.4.1	Required information for incoming RSB material is not provided. Only ISCC EU external suppliers provided properly filled out declarations of conformity. The RSB company ASB CY is not providing any statement to link the consignments to a RSB compliant batches. Contract, invoices and Waste Transfer Note from ASB CY are not reporting any link to RSB scheme. The GTW suppliers are using the self-declaration ISCC EU for waste/residue which are not accepted under RSB.	Closed.  Proper documentation for incoming feedstocks provided, including Sustainability Declarations and Contracts.
9	Major (Major downgraded to)	RSB-STD-11-001-20-001 PO Checklist 6.4.2, 6.6.6, 8.3.3, 8.3.4, 8.3.14, 12.1, 12.2, 12.3	Flawed POS/ Statement for Outgoing Material a) Outgoing declarations do not contain the requisite information as stated in 6.4.2. Specifically, the certification scheme is not adequately represented: there is no	Closed.  POS Correctly updated.

	Minor previously)		<p>difference of representation of ISCC and RSB batches. (Seperate accounting of RSB vs ISCC certified material is not kept and so cannot be specified.</p> <p>b) As the POS uses RSB trademark, the participant code, RSB webpage address is missing from the document</p>	
10	Major	RSB-STD-11-001-20-001 PO Checklist 8.3.8	<p>The company states that the material is 100% of vegetable original when in fact it is mixed with lard. FAME is reported to be generated from UCO "entirely of vegetable origin". This is in contradiction with mass balance concept in a company where UCO and lard are processed in parallel, while it could be stated only in case of physical segregation from animal fat, not for product in mass balance management with them. The fact that it is not stated "Product mix contains RSB EU RED Compliant Biomass/Biofuel" even in an equivalent form could give wrong information on the fact that "UCO entirely of vegetable origin" has not been processed with identity preservation. The same approach is used with GTW.</p>	<p>Closed</p> <p>Updated POS template submitted.</p> <p>"Product Mix" is now stated.</p>
11	Major	RSB-STD-11-001-30-001 PO Checklist 1.4.	<p>Certification scope is not clearly defined in documents: The details of ASB CY as the site where RSB-compliant product is collected, handled and forwarded do not include specific reference to RSB scheme.</p>	<p>Closed</p> <p>Contract amendment submitted</p>

## 5.0 CERTIFICATION DECISION

Certification Recommendation	
<b>Operator to be awarded RSB certification</b>	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
<p>The SCS evaluation team makes the above recommendation for certification based on the full and proper execution of the SCS Responsible Biofuels Program evaluation protocols. If certification is recommended, the Operator has satisfactorily demonstrated the following without exception:</p>	
Operator has addressed any Major NC(s) assigned during the evaluation.	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

Operator has demonstrated that their system of management is capable of ensuring that all of the requirements of the applicable standards are met over the sites and facilities covered by the scope of the evaluation.		Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Operator has demonstrated that the described system of management is being implemented consistently over the sites and facilities covered by the scope of the certificate.		Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments and/or details of any issue which was difficult and/or impossible to evaluate:		Operator has had challenges establishing correct mass-balance accounting system. To be carefully evaluated during next audit.
<b>To be completed by Certification Decision-Making Entity</b>	<b>Technical Review by:</b> If different to decision-maker	Matthew Rudolf
	<b>Certification decision:</b>	ASB is suspended as of 10 November 2017 Suspension lifted 20 February 2018.
	<b>Certification decision by:</b>	Matthew Rudolf 
	<b>Date of decision:</b> For initial or continued certification	20 February 2018
	<b>Surveillance schedule:</b>	Next Audit scheduled for April/May 2018.  Notes:

**Sub Certificate Codes (if applicable)**

Legal Entity/Operational Site	Sub-Certificate Code